New attitudes toward risk and the handicapped in Scandinavia

Programming risk-taking experiences in Scandinavia

   Normal risk in community experiences
   Normal risk in industry
   Normal risk in heterosexual relationships
   Normal risk in building design

The new Scandinavian attitude toward risk: is it applicable to the North American scene?
Many who work with the handicapped, impaired, disadvantaged, and aged tend to be overzealous in their attempts to ‘protect’, ‘comfort’, ‘keep safe’, ‘take care’, and ‘watch’. Acting on these impulses, at the right time, can be benevolent, helpful, and developmental. But, if they are acted upon exclusively or excessively, without allowing for each client’s individuality and growth potential, they will overprotect and emotionally smother the intended beneficiary. In fact, such overprotection endangers the client’s human dignity, and tends to keep him from experiencing the risk-taking of ordinary life which is necessary for normal human growth and development.

We often say that the aged person, the spastic person, or the mentally retarded are ‘courageous’ when it comes to struggling against whatever it is that limits their functioning. On the other hand, we make it almost unthinkable that these people could be seen as courageous in the sense of taking personal risk. In 1966, a ten-year-old severely retarded boy named Billy wandered away from the institution where he lived, and became lost in the woods that skirted the institution grounds. The temperature was below freezing. All off-duty personnel were called back to the institution to form emergency parties to search for the boy. Two moderately retarded teenagers, Ray and Elmer, asked a staff member if they could search for Billy, too. The staff member ‘moved through channels’, and, after some time, received approval for the boys to join in the search, and they found the lost boy! At a later program, the superintendent gave Ray and Elmer special recognition and letters of commendation. By this time, many of the staff were haunted by the fact that there were 35 adolescent boys and 40 girls in the institution who functioned every bit as well as Elmer and Ray. Since the wooded area involved is not very large, they might have been mobilized more efficiently and quickly than the staff.

All this helps one to see the many ways in which the handicapped can be denied their fair and prudent share of risk-taking. Many who have worked in the field for any length of time can be aware of the clever ways in which all of us have built the avoidance of risk into the lives of many of our clients (especially the retarded, disordered, and aged) by limiting their spheres of behavior and interactions in the community, jobs, recreation, relationships with the opposite sex, etc. Even buildings constructed for the benefit of the handicapped are filled with things designed to help the residents avoid risk. Fortunately, there is a growing awareness and many beginning efforts in
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North America to allow the impaired to assume a fair and prudent share of risk commensurate with their functioning.

**New attitudes toward risk and the handicapped in Scandinavia**

With the backing of a Rosemary Dybwad International Award from the National Association for Retarded Children, I had the opportunity to travel to Scandinavia and study the ways in which Swedish and Danish people have given human dignity to their retarded, multihandicapped, and aged citizens. During this study, one of the most exciting things I observed was the many new and different ways these people are attempting to put reasonable risk back in the life of these limited persons in their midst. Though these attempts are still rather new and somewhat isolated, sound principles underlying what is being done seem to be developing.

The beauty of it all is that new attitudes toward risk seem to be one of the quite unforeseen by-products of Denmark’s and Sweden’s crash programs for the mentally retarded. It is my hunch that neither the Danes nor the Swedes completely planned or predicted this new and fresh attitude when Denmark passed the ‘Act of 1959’ (Bank-Mikkelsen, 1969), and Sweden enacted the ‘Normalization Law’ in 1968 (Nirje, 1969b).

In this chapter, I will present first-hand observations of incidents where workers in these two countries allowed their handicapped to experience a reasonable amount of risk. Since we, in North America, are beginning to struggle with this problem as well, it is hoped that these incidents will illuminate and clarify the directions and attitudes we may choose to take in the future.

**Programming risk-taking experiences in Scandinavia**

Some Scandinavian workers with the retarded are developing innovative ideas to literally ‘push the retarded out of the nest’ as a means of finding new growth. Such experiences in a number of areas of living are illustrated below. At the other end, extraordinary means are being used to keep the aged from moving into an overprotective nest.

**NORMAL RISK IN COMMUNITY EXPERIENCES**

Bengt Nirje, former secretary general (executive director) of the Swedish Association for Retarded Children, has developed a special interest in the formation of youth clubs in Stockholm, where both ordinary young people in business or still in school, as well as mentally retarded youths, serve as co-members. In four years, the first such Flamlattsklub has grown into 24 clubs. Kept to approximately 20 members each, these clubs plan a wide range of recreational and educational activities. To be a member in full standing, a retarded person must first learn to find his own way from his home to the clubroom in the center of downtown Stockholm.

Nirje has attempted to build into each club something he calls ‘hidden social training’. Members are required to do for themselves what they have never done before. For example, a group may travel for a special program to a section of Stockholm where they have never been before. When the program is over, they are expected to find their way home alone, even though this involves the struggle of asking questions of strangers, getting one’s own
direction, finding the right bus or subway, *etc.* At another time, a day's outing at a particular amusement area may be planned, and then the leaders may be 'called away', leaving the retarded persons to entertain themselves.

There are a variety of experiments where mentally retarded persons are allowed to live in apartments in the city. The degree of supervision while living alone in these apartments ranges from intensive to none. In a boarding school outside Vingåker, this period of self-reliance may amount to a weekend after which the mentally retarded return to the school to evaluate their experiences. In Flen (also in Sweden), institutionalized persons move into a rented hostel for a period of training and supervision. Later, they move into apartments and live alone.

The different plans for programming experiences of being left alone in a city, or of being placed in a strange apartment, varies with the region and the agency, but I was amazed at the great number of such programmed risks that had been developed.

**NORMAL RISK IN INDUSTRY**

Workshop personnel anywhere can be very imaginative in designing jigs and fixtures or in modifying industrial equipment, either to simplify an operation, or to make it safe. In either case, such ingenuity may be the critical element in opening up many tasks to severely impaired persons. However, in our good intentions, we may go too far and once more lose sight of individual differences in the capabilities of the handicapped. To reshape a task that might be performed by an ordinary industrial worker solely because a limited person is to perform it is dehumanizing if the limited worker is capable of performing the same task on the same equipment as safely and/or as well as the industrial worker.

The general movement from basket weaving, ceramics, potholder making and other occupations of a handicraft variety, to productive manufacture of useful and marketable items has served to expose many handicapped Scandinavian persons to the normal risks found in any industry. For example, in the Örebro district in Sweden, I saw a nineteen-year-old mongoloid man sitting at a large punch press with all its mechanical shafting and mechanisms standing ten to twelve feet high. He pushed a button and a mass of metal came hurtling down on the press plate with a thud. There would not be much left of his hand if it got in the way. This type of operation was also observed in Gothenburg, Uppsala, and in a Danish workshop in Farum.

Throughout these two countries, one can see retarded persons operating heavy-duty punch presses, drills, and saws while they do simple repetitive operations on a Volvo automobile fender, on brass fittings, or on Danish modern furniture, to name only a few. I noticed that the risks these persons took were normal for industry in these countries.

In Örebro, the Frykstagramen workshop contains a work force of 15 deaf adolescents and young men who turn out routine machined items on heavy-duty lathes. Their foreman felt the need to tell me: 'That's not easy, you know. A regular worker can hear when the machinery is going to break and fly in his face. These people can't hear. So, I teach them to watch things with an alert eye.'

There was danger here! In fact, there was enough danger to put great fear in the heart of any worker with the deaf who tended to be overprotective.
But, the remarkable thing about these workshops was that their foremen expected their workers to be safe. For the most part, these persons lived up to the expectations of the leaders. It could be conjectured that there would have been tragic consequences if the foremen expected the deaf workers to get hurt.

NORMAL RISK IN HETEROSEXUAL RELATIONSHIPS
In healthy human beings' attempts to build close, creative human relationships, there is always a risk and a chance for failure and pain. We have yet to completely evaluate what we do to the human dignity of a person when such relationships are denied.

Bö works in an assembly line for TV terminal strips at the Frykstagarden workshop in Orebro. Approximately 26 years of age, he suffers from spastic paralysis, but has an ingenious way of putting metal pieces into plastic parts using a vice (others can do the same operation much more readily with a hammer). Marie, age 21, works elsewhere in the line; she is spastic also. Bö and Marie look forward to being together in the lunch room. Their social worker pointed them out to me, saying, 'they're in love'. Slowly these two persons, with professional help, were working out plans for the day when they could live together and make a closer relationship. Because of the spasticity of both of these persons, sex could hardly be a very large issue, but there seemed to be so many other creative possibilities between them. It was obvious that everyone respected these two and their attempts to find one another.

Older men and women with many years of institutionalization behind them are given the chance to attempt a life together when the chance for success is reasonable, though in any close human relationship there must be some risk. Many human beings choose to live out their lives keeping distance between themselves and others, a fact also true of many of the handicapped. But there are some who would not choose isolation. Throughout Denmark and Sweden, there seems to be a movement away from dormitories for men and dormitories for women, with a 'never-never land' in between. Instead, the tender, patient, sensitive building of closer human relationships under supervision was observed in many areas of both countries. The healthy, carefully evolved decisions of these persons were honored and regarded by the helping professionals as being within the limits of normal human risk.

NORMAL RISK IN BUILDING DESIGN
For years, in both Scandinavia and North America, when architects were contracted to build a facility for the mentally retarded, they automatically drew up plans for a 'heavy-duty' and 'super-safe' facility. In both countries, the building codes have reinforced this attitude. For example, if a small 'family' of handicapped persons are to be housed in a two-storey home, some local governments will demand an outside fire escape, special exits, expensive fire detection systems, and special electrical wiring and plumbing, to name only a few restrictions. If a professional attempts to move his own family of the same size into the same two-storey home, these 'special' standards do not apply.

Sweden and Denmark are now struggling to break this tradition, and have already made much progress in this direction. New residential facilities are
being constructed more and more the way homes for normal human beings are constructed. They are being designed with plenty of glass, many doors to the outside, and lots of brightly colored fixtures and furniture. Beautiful hanging lamps can be seen everywhere, and nobody seems to swing from them — because it is expected that no one will. This new architecture is saying some powerfully hopeful things to and about human beings who happen to be handicapped.

Sweden has a penchant for spiral staircases. They are rather beautiful but dangerous. One can stand at the top of one of these staircases and look down at the inner pole, and see nothing but a spiral of space curling around and around. Walk down on the wrong side of one of these staircases, and you can be maimed or killed! Yet, such staircases can now be seen time and again where the mentally retarded as well as the physically handicapped may live.

We are now beginning to learn that there is such a thing as the 'language of a building' (see chapter 6). We do 'say something' to the person who lives in the building that we build for them. We can say: 'We will protect you and comfort you — and watch you like a hawk!' Or we can say: 'You are a human being and so you have the right to live as other humans live, even to the point where we will not take all dangers of human life from you.'

**The new Scandinavian attitude toward risk: is it applicable to the North American scene?**

What is it that we in North America who work with the handicapped can learn from Sweden's and Denmark's attitude of allowing these people to experience normal risk? Before an answer is attempted, it might be well to recall how much they have learned from us. In an endless range of situations, they can quote North American experts — even to the point where a Swedish man was able to inform me that Henry Ford called his first automobile the Model 'T', because it was the first 'tempo' manufactured car. These people seem to be the skilled implementers and appliers of a wide range of knowledge from other lands. They seem to do it in the same way that they gather raw materials from all over the world and then design and manufacture some of the most excellent products on the face of the earth. Most of these ideas about risk-taking were not new with the Scandinavians. We have theorized about such things for years and years, but in many cases, they implemented what we often only felt or talked about.

It would be spurious to try to make Swedes and Danes out of Canadians and Americans. We gave up such 'missionary' action years ago. But, it would be expedient to watch the hopeful struggle in which the Scandinavians are involved, focus on their attitudes, and see which would be compatible with healthy life here and which would not. God knows, we cannot continue the type of overprotection we have usually given the handicapped.

The world in which we live is not always safe, secure, and predictable. It does not always say 'please' or 'excuse me'. Every day that we wake up and live in the hours of that day, there is a possibility of being thrown up against a situation where we may have to risk everything, even our lives. This is the way the real world is. We must work to develop every human resource within us in order to prepare for these days. To deny any person their fair share of risk experiences is to further cripple them for healthy living.

A book published in German not long ago could haunt us all (Teufel,
It graphically describes the human responses of retarded and spastic children and adults in a 700-place institution at Stetten (Germany) when, in 1940, two gray buses with windows painted gray drove up to the institution for the first of many trips. The driver presented a list of residents who were to be ‘transferred’, and then drove them off after saying to a worker: ‘Soon there will be seventy-five less idiots in the world.’ During an extended period of time, 322 of the 700 were driven off to be gassed and cremated. But, the most interesting thing in this account is that it makes one aware of the fully human reactions of these people in the face of this risk.

The ambulatory persons became deeply concerned for the nonambulatory, knowing they had little chance to fend for themselves. Many used their best wits to scout and plan special hiding places to which they fled every time they saw the buses coming up the road. One boy instinctively ran to his hiding place when the critical time came. He then returned after the buses were gone saying, ‘They didn’t catch me. I’m smarter than they.’ Karl fought with the driver and ran away shouting, ‘I’ll hang myself before I’ll die like that.’ Richard, who was paralyzed, knew he did not have a chance; with calm and purpose he gave his pocket money and watch to his closest friend. He discussed the situation with his housefather, and they prayed together as he made himself ready to die like a man with dignity. ‘Cool’ Emily calmly got into line on the day her name was called and walked to the bus. But, as she came near the door of the bus, she calmly walked right on by and nobody even noticed. Later, when the bus was gone, she returned to the institution and busied herself with her assigned task of scrubbing steps. All this points up how persons once overprotected may, can, will, and should respond to risk with full human dignity and courage.

It is my firm belief that we now need to ensure this dimension of human dignity for the handicapped and prepare them for facing real but prudent risk in a real world. Where many of us worked overtime in past years to find clever ways of building the avoidance of risk into the lives of our clients, now we should work equally hard to help find the proper amount of normal risk for every person. We have learned: there can be such a thing as human dignity in risk. And there can be a dehumanizing indignity in safety!