

9-2012

Choroidal neovascularization regression on fluorescein angiography after VEGF blockade.

Diana V. Do
University of Nebraska Medical Center, diana.do@unmc.edu

Lark Greenwald

Mohamed Ibrahim

Yasir J. Sepah
University of Nebraska Medical Center, yasir.sepah@unmc.edu

Quan Dong Nguyen
University of Nebraska Medical Center, quan.nguyen@unmc.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unmc.edu/com_eye_articles

 Part of the [Ophthalmology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Do, Diana V.; Greenwald, Lark; Ibrahim, Mohamed; Sepah, Yasir J.; and Dong Nguyen, Quan, "Choroidal neovascularization regression on fluorescein angiography after VEGF blockade." (2012). *Journal Articles: Ophthalmology*. 7.

https://digitalcommons.unmc.edu/com_eye_articles/7

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Ophthalmology at DigitalCommons@UNMC. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal Articles: Ophthalmology by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@UNMC. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@unmc.edu.

This is an Open Access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 License (www.karger.com/OA-license), applicable to the online version of the article only. Distribution for non-commercial purposes only.

Choroidal Neovascularization Regression on Fluorescein Angiography after VEGF Blockade

Diana V. Do Lark Greenwald Mohamed Ibrahim
Yasir Sepah Quan Dong Nguyen

Wilmer Eye Institute, Johns Hopkins Hospital, Baltimore, Md., USA

Key Words

Choroidal neovascularization · Fluorescein angiography · Age-related maculopathies

Abstract

Background: Intravitreal vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) inhibitors stabilize vision in a majority of patients with neovascular age-related macular degeneration (AMD) and can improve vision in almost 40% of patients. However, some individuals who respond to anti-VEGF treatment still lose vision due to the formation of geographic atrophy (GA). While optical coherence tomography is often the primary imaging modality used, fluorescein angiography (FA) can provide useful information on GA development after choroidal neovascularization (CNV) regression.

Methods: A retrospective chart review was conducted to evaluate the changes seen on FA over a 47-month period for 3 patients with neovascular AMD treated with anti-VEGF inhibitors.

Results: All 3 patients were initially noted to have subfoveal CNV due to AMD at baseline; they were followed up monthly and treated on an as needed basis for at least 47 months with intravitreal VEGF inhibitors. All subjects had regression of their CNV lesions after VEGF blockade. Two subjects developed foveal atrophy.

Conclusions: This case series depicts the changes on FA seen over a 4-year period and shows that GA can occur with regression of CNV after treatment with VEGF inhibitors.

Introduction

Intravitreal vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) inhibitors are the standard treatment for subfoveal choroidal neovascularization (CNV) due to age-related macular degeneration (AMD) [1, 2]. Though the original dosing regimen studied involved

monthly injections, recently it has been observed that monthly follow-up with as needed treatment decreased the number of injections without sacrificing the gain in visual acuity [3–5]. Currently, most retina specialists employ optical coherence tomography (OCT) to evaluate CNV lesions and determine if additional VEGF inhibition is needed. Although OCT has become the mainstay for retinal imaging, fluorescein angiography (FA) is still important in the diagnosis and monitoring of retinal pathologies such as CNV, especially when geographic atrophy (GA) develops after CNV regression [6, 7].

We conducted a retrospective study to evaluate the dynamic changes seen on FA in 3 patients who had undergone serial FA imaging over 48 months of treatment with intravitreal (IVT) VEGF inhibitors for neovascular AMD.

Materials and Methods

This study was approved by the Johns Hopkins Institutional Review Board, which granted a waiver of consent for access to medical records for all persons included in the study. Retrospective chart review was performed for patients who had routine fluorescein angiograms and OCT performed as they were being treated with intravitreal VEGF inhibitors for neovascular AMD. Three sample cases are described below.

Case Reports

Case 1

Patient 1 is a 75-year-old man who presented with decreased vision. Initially, his visual acuity was found to be 20/63 in the left eye with subfoveal CNV due to AMD. Baseline FA revealed a small subretinal hemorrhage and leakage from classic CNV (fig. 1a). One month after receiving an IVT injection with ranibizumab (RBZ), the CNV lesion decreased in size (fig. 1b), and it continued to regress and show decreasing amounts of leakage at months 3 and 6, stabilized at month 9, and increased in leakage at month 12 (fig. 1c–f) as the patient received additional RBZ on an as needed basis depending on CNV activity seen on either FA and/or OCT. At month 47 and after a total of 16 ranibizumab injections, the CNV had completely regressed. However, GA had developed over the fovea resulting in a visual acuity (VA) of 20/250 (fig. 1g).

Case 2

Patient 2 is an 85-year-old man who presented with a VA of 20/80 in his right eye and subfoveal occult CNV due to AMD. On presentation, the CNV lesion was greater than 9 disc areas. One month after treatment with ranibizumab, the CNV had regressed and there was decreased leakage on FA. There was continued CNV regression through months 3, 6, 9 and 12 as the patient received additional RBZ on an as needed basis. At month 48 and after a total of 23 RBZ injections, there was no CNV leakage remaining, and the VA was 20/50, but some extrafoveal GA had developed.

Case 3

Patient 3 is an 80-year-old woman who presented with a VA of 20/125 and subfoveal occult CNV due to AMD in the left eye. At the initial visit, there was subretinal hemorrhage and leakage from CNV (fig. 2a). After 2 RBZ treatments, there was regression of the CNV lesion at month 2 (fig. 2b), but leakage returned through month 12 (fig. 2c–e). This patient was followed up for a total of 57 months, during which she received 20 RBZ injections and 2 bevacizumab injections. At her most recent visit, there was foveal GA with no CNV leakage, and her VA was 20/125 (fig. 2f).

Discussion

Both FA and OCT images are able to monitor CNV activity after VEGF inhibition [8]. However, despite OCT being more commonly used today to monitor CNV lesions, FA and OCT have different benefits and limitations. OCT allows for visualization of the retina to document intraretinal or subretinal fluid and elevation of the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) associated with CNV. FA visualizes the actual extent and size of the CNV lesion and documents leakage associated with it. Although spectral domain- (SD) OCT can sample a large area of the retina with high resolution, it still cannot document the extent of CNV seen on FA [9]. FA can truly document both the size of the CNV lesion and regression of it after treatment with VEGF inhibition, and aid in the diagnosis of geographic atrophy. More recently, ophthalmologists have also been employing SD-OCT for identifying areas of geographic atrophy that could be responsible for poor visual outcomes after anti-VEGF therapy.

Few studies have described long-term changes in CNV lesions with FA after VEGF inhibition. This case series documents CNV regression induced by anti-VEGF therapy. In addition, it documents the development of atrophy in the macula after CNV regression. The GA may be due to the natural history of the disease or it may be accelerated by CNV regression by anti-VEGF therapy. Rosenfeld et al. [10] evaluated causes of vision loss after anti-VEGF therapy and also demonstrated that RPE changes and atrophy were common causes of vision loss after long-term use of RBZ for neovascular AMD. Their study evaluated 71 eyes that lost at least 15 letters of VA over a 24-month period, and they found that RPE atrophy and/or abnormalities were the most common causes of visual loss among these patients. Additional studies of OCT and FA would be helpful to identify if chronic anti-VEGF therapy increases the risk of GA. In addition to OCT, FA is still an important tool for monitoring CNV activity after VEGF inhibition and for documenting CNV regression and the development of atrophy in the macula.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Shawn Wilker, MD, Assistant Professor of Ophthalmology at Case Western University, for his assistance with this project.

Disclosure Statement

Dr. D. Do has received research funding from Genentech, Regeneron and Heidelberg. Dr. Q.D. Nguyen has received research funding from Genentech, Regeneron, Heidelberg and Santen. He also serves as a consultant to Bausch and Lomb, and Santen. The remaining authors declare no conflict of interest.

This work has not been previously presented.




Fig. 1. Late-phase fluorescein angiograms from a patient treated with ranibizumab on an as needed basis over 47 months. The CNV lesion measured 2 disc areas at baseline (a). After the initial ranibizumab treatment, the CNV lesion decreased in size at month 1 (b) month 3 (c) and month 6 (d), maintained this size through month 9 (e), and increased at month 12 (f). At 47 months (g), there was no CNV activity but geographic atrophy had developed.




Fig. 2. Late-phase fluorescein angiograms from a patient treated with bevacizumab at baseline and treated with either bevacizumab or ranibizumab on an as needed basis for 57 months. The CNV lesion measured 1–2 disc areas at baseline (a). The lesion decreased in size slightly at month 1 (b) and then leaked more at month 5 (c), month 9 (d) and month 12 (e). Over 57 months, the CNV activity completely regressed though geographic atrophy had developed (f).

References

- 1 Rosenfeld PJ, Brown DM, Heier JS, Boyer DS, Kaiser PK, Chung CY, Kim R: Ranibizumab for neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *N Engl J Med* 2006;355:1419–1431.
- 2 Brown DM, Michels M, Kaiser PK, Heier JS, Sy JP, Ianchulev T: Ranibizumab versus verteporfin photodynamic therapy for neovascular age-related macular degeneration: two-year results of the ANCHOR study. *Ophthalmology* 2009;116:57–65.e5.
- 3 Lalwani GA, Rosenfeld PJ, Fung AE, Dubovy SR, Michels S, Feuer WJ, Davis JL, Flynn HW, Esquiabro M: A variable-dosing regimen with intravitreal ranibizumab for neovascular age-related macular degeneration: year 2 of the PrONTO Study. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2009;148:43–58.e1.
- 4 Fung AE, Lalwani GA, Rosenfeld PJ, Dubovy SR, Michels S, Feuer WJ, Puliafito CA, Davis JL, Flynn HW, Esquiabro M: An optical coherence tomography-guided, variable dosing regimen with intravitreal ranibizumab (Lucentis) for neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2007;143:566–583.
- 5 Martin DF, Maguire MG, Ying GS, Grunwald JE, Fine SL, Jaffe GJ: Ranibizumab and bevacizumab for neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *N Engl J Med* 2011;364:1897–1908.
- 6 Sandhu SS, Talks SJ: Correlation of optical coherence tomography, with or without additional colour fundus photography, with stereo fundus fluorescein angiography in diagnosing choroidal neovascular membranes. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2005;89:967–970.
- 7 Kotsolis AI, Killian FA, Ladas ID, Yannuzzi LA: Fluorescein angiography and optical coherence tomography concordance for choroidal neovascularisation in multifocal choroiditis. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2010;94:1506–1508.
- 8 Kaiser PK, Blodi BA, Shapiro H, Acharya NR: Angiographic and optical coherence tomographic results of the MARINA study of ranibizumab in neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *Ophthalmology* 2007;114:1868–1875.
- 9 Khurana RN, Dupas B, Bressler NM: Agreement of time-domain and spectral-domain optical coherence tomography with fluorescein leakage from choroidal neovascularization. *Ophthalmology* 2010;117:1376–1380.
- 10 Rosenfeld PJ, Shapiro H, Tuomi L, Webster M, Elledge J, Blodi B; MARINA and ANCHOR Study Groups: Characteristics of patients losing vision after 2 years of monthly dosing in the phase III ranibizumab clinical trials. *Ophthalmology* 2011;118:523–530.