

University of Nebraska Medical Center [DigitalCommons@UNMC](https://digitalcommons.unmc.edu/)

[Theses & Dissertations](https://digitalcommons.unmc.edu/etd) [Graduate Studies](https://digitalcommons.unmc.edu/grad_studies) and Graduate Studies Creations Creations of Graduate Studies

Summer 8-18-2017

Development of C5AR-Targeted Nanoparticles for Delivery of Vaccines

Shailendra Bharadwaj Tallapaka Venkata Sesha University of Nebraska Medical Center

Tell us how you used this information in this [short survey.](https://unmc.libwizard.com/f/DCFeedback/)

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.unmc.edu/etd](https://digitalcommons.unmc.edu/etd?utm_source=digitalcommons.unmc.edu%2Fetd%2F211&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

 \bullet Part of the [Immunity Commons,](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/34?utm_source=digitalcommons.unmc.edu%2Fetd%2F211&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Immunoprophylaxis and Therapy Commons,](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/37?utm_source=digitalcommons.unmc.edu%2Fetd%2F211&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Nanomedicine](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/1252?utm_source=digitalcommons.unmc.edu%2Fetd%2F211&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/1252?utm_source=digitalcommons.unmc.edu%2Fetd%2F211&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages), and the [Pharmaceutics and Drug Design Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/733?utm_source=digitalcommons.unmc.edu%2Fetd%2F211&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Recommended Citation

Tallapaka Venkata Sesha, Shailendra Bharadwaj, "Development of C5AR-Targeted Nanoparticles for Delivery of Vaccines" (2017). Theses & Dissertations. 211. [https://digitalcommons.unmc.edu/etd/211](https://digitalcommons.unmc.edu/etd/211?utm_source=digitalcommons.unmc.edu%2Fetd%2F211&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate Studies at DigitalCommons@UNMC. It has been accepted for inclusion in Theses & Dissertations by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@UNMC. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons@unmc.edu.](mailto:digitalcommons@unmc.edu)

DEVELOPMENT OF C5AR-TARGETED NANOPARTICLES FOR DELIVERY OF VACCINES

by

Shailendra Bharadwaj Tallapaka

A DISSERTATION

Presented to the Faculty of the University of Nebraska Graduate College in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Pharmaceutical Sciences Graduate Program Under the Supervision of Professor Joseph A. Vetro

> University of Nebraska Medical Center Omaha, Nebraska

May 2017

Supervisory Committee:

Joseph A. Vetro, Ph.D. Sam D. Sanderson, Ph.D.

Rakesh K. Singh, Ph.D. Joyce Solheim, Ph.D.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The successful completion of my PhD would not be possible without the many people in my life who have constantly supported me in this endeavor, it is an honor to thank them all in my humble acknowledgement. First, I would like to give special thanks to my advisor Dr. Joseph Vetro for agreeing to be my mentor, the 6 years I have spent in his lab helped me grow both as a scientist and as a person. Dr. Vetro has been very supportive throughout my presence in his lab, he helped me improve my ideas by teaching me how to think and come up with ways to improve upon the basic design. He has also been a great friend and has helped me through the difficult times. It has truly been an amazing experience working with him and I am confident that what I learned here would help me throughout my life. Next, I would like to thank my committee member Dr. Sam Sanderson, whose help has been instrumental in this work. Dr. Sanderson has always been forthcoming with great advice, he encouraged me to keep trying and stay positive, when many of my projects were failing to produce the right results. I would also like to sincerely thank my committee members, Drs. Rakesh Singh and Joyce Solheim for their valuable inputs, suggestions and guidance.

My stay in UNMC was enriched by the brilliant people I had a chance to work with, especially all the members, past and present, of Vetro lab. First, I would like to thank Steve Curran for his help in troubleshooting any issues I had, more importantly all the wonderful anecdotes and life lessons. Second, I would like to thank Vamsi and Pravin for all of their help in running the experiments, this work would not be possible without them. Third, a big thanks to Rajesh, Vishaka and Jennifer – for all the support and friendship. Finally, I am grateful to all Pharmaceutical Sciences department administrative staff (Katina, Elaine, Michelle, April, Erin, Anna, Jackie, and Ashley) for all the administrative help during my studies here at UNMC and a special thanks to NIH and UNMC Graduate Studies for funding my project.

Over the last few years Omaha has become my home away from home, this is thanks to my wonderful friends Praneeth, Vijay, Radhika, Venkat, Usha, Bajju, Bannu, Nagsen, Preethi, Deepa, Aditya, Pavan, Sai Srinivas, Praveen and Yeshwant. I am also thankful to all my buddies from the world of Dota2 – Meego, Capri, xception, sam, and cycks, for their friendship, flaming and saltiness.

Most of all I would like to thank my family, particularly my mom, dad, uncle, aunt, brother, sister-in-law and my lovely niece for their unconditional love and support throughout my education. Also, a huge thanks to my best friends Fundu and Visa for always being there through the ups and downs in the both the real and virtual world, I could not have done any of this without them. Finally, I would like to thank Aishwarya for her unwavering belief in me and her patience over the last six years.

DEVELOPMENT OF C5AR-TARGETED NANOPARTICLES FOR DELIVERY OF VACCINES

Shailendra Bharadwaj Tallapaka, Ph.D. University of Nebraska Medical Center, 2017

Since the early attempts of Benjamin Jesty at inducing immunity against smallpox and the pioneering work of Edward Jenner, vaccination has been, and continues to remain, the principal method of protection from diseases. However, most of the successful vaccines have been against pathogens that do not have major mechanisms to evade the immune system. So far, many life-threatening diseases like hepatitis C, HIV infection, malaria etc., have been resistant to existing vaccination strategies. Thus, there is an urgent need to develop new vaccination strategies that can generate long-lived protective immunity against such pathogens.

The purpose of this thesis is to investigate the effect of targeting PLGA nanoparticles to antigen-presenting cells using a novel immunostimulatory peptide EP67 as the targeting moiety on the immune responses generated against the encapsulating model antigen. In this study, we have shown that surface modification of PLGA nanoparticles with EP67 simultaneously targets and activates BMDCs, which results in enhanced antigen presentation to T-cells. Furthermore, we demonstrated that respiratory immunization with EP67 surface-modified OVA-encapsulated PLGA nanoparticles (i) increased protection against respiratory infection with LM-OVA by significantly reducing bacteria (ii) increased magnitudes of OVA-specific CD4+/CD8+ T-cells in lungs and spleen, (iii) increased proportions of short-lived effector cells (SLECs), double positive effector cells (DPECs), and memory precursor effector cells (MPECs) in lungs, (iv) increased effector memory MPECs and central memory MPECs without affecting SLECs in spleen, and (v) affected the cytokine secretion profile of splenocytes responsive to MHC-II epitope of OVA. Overall, this work demonstrates the proof-of-concept that surface modification of PLGA nanoparticles with EP67 can increase the efficacy of immune responses generated against the encapsulated antigen.

Table of Contents

List of Figures and Tables

Figure 4.6. Modifying the surface of PLGA 50:50 nanoparticles with EP67 increases the expression of activation markers on the surface of immature murine BMDCs 70

Figure 4.7. Modifying the surface of PLGA 50:50 nanoparticles with EP67 increases murine BMDC activation of model naïve T cells against encapsulated protein in vitro. 71

Figure 4.8. Modifying the surface of PLGA 50:50 nanoparticles with EP67 increases the efficacy of respiratory immunization 73

Figure 4.9. Modifying the surface of PLGA nanoparticles with EP67 increases proportions of antigen-specific mucosal and systemic T cells after respiratory immunization. 75

Figure 4.10. Modifying the surface of PLGA nanoparticles with EP67 affects CD127/KLRG1 memory subsets of mucosal T-cells 77

Figure 4.11. Modifying the surface of PLGA nanoparticles with EP67 affects CD127/KLRG1/CD62L memory subsets of T cells. 78

Figure 4.12. Modifying the surface of PLGA nanoparticles with EP67

79

selectively affects the cytokine secretion pattern of CD4+ epitope-responsive splenocytes

Table 4.1. Characteristics of OVA-encapsulated PLGA nanoparticles 81

List of Abbreviations

- APCs: Antigen-presenting cells
- APC: Allophycocyanin
- BMDC: Bone marrow-derived dendritic cell
- CCL1: Chemokine ligand 1
- CCL2: Chemokine ligand 2
- CCL3: Chemokine ligand 3
- CCL4: Chemokine ligand 4
- CCL5: Chemokine ligand 5
- CD62L: L-selectin
- cDC1: Conventional DC 1
- cDC2: Conventional DC 2
- CFU: Colony forming unit
- CpG: Cytosine-phosphate-guanine
- CRS: Congenital rubella syndrome
- CTL: Cytotoxic T lymphocytes
- DC: Dendritic cell
- DMEM: Dulbecco's modified eagle's medium
- DMSO: Dimethyl sulfoxide
- E: Early
- EEC: Early effector cell
- ESE: Emulsion solvent evaporation
- EP: Electroporated
- FP: Foot pad
- GM-CSF: Granulocyte macrophage-colony stimulating factor
- HCMV: Human cytomegalovirus
- HI-NCS: Heat-inactivated new born calf serum
- ID: Intradermal
- IM: Intramuscular
- IN: Intranodal
- iNKT: induced Natural Killer T cell
- IP: Intraperitoneal
- IT: Intratumoral
- IV: Intravenous
- IFN-γ: Interferon gamma
- IgA: Immunoglobulin A
- IgG: Immunoglobulin G
- IL-2: Interleukin-2
- IL-4: Interleukin-4
- IL-6: Interleukin-6
- IL-8: Interleukin-8
- IL-10: Interleukin-10
- IL-12: Interleukin-12
- IL-1β: Interleukin-1beta
- IL-13: Interleukin-13

IP-10: Interferon-gamma induced protein-10

KLRG1: Killer cell lectin-like receptor subfamily G member 1

L: Late

LV: Lentiviral vector

MALT: Mucosal Associated Lymphoid Tissues

M-CSF: Macrophage-colony stimulating factor

MHC II: Major histocompatibility complex class II

MCMV: Murine cytomegalovirus

MHC I: Major histocompatibility complex class I

MPLA: Monophosphoryl Lipid A

MP: Microparticle

MPEC: Memory precursor effector cell

NP: Nanoparticle

NK: Natural killer

P: Prophylactic

PAMPs: Pathogen-associated molecular patterns

PFU: Plaque forming units

PBS: Phosphate buffered saline

PLGA: Poly(D,L-lactic-co-glycolic acid)

PVA: Poly(vinyl) alcohol

RPMI 1640: Roswell Park Memorial Institute 1640

SLEC: Short-lived effector cell

SQ: Subcutaneous

Tcm: Central memory T cells

TCR T-cell receptor

Tem: Effector memory T cells

Teff: Effector T cells

Th1: T helper 1

Th2: T helper 2

Treg: Regulatory T cell

TET: Tetramer

TLR: Toll-like receptor

TNF: Tumor necrosis family

Trm: Tissue-resident memory T-cells

TRT: Total respiratory tract

U: Unknown

CHAPTER 1

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Vaccination is arguably the greatest achievement of medical science, in terms of the effect it had on improving human life expectancy. So far, mass immunization programs have led to the complete eradication of smallpox and reduced the number of reported cases of many diseases, including diphtheria, polio, measles, mumps, rubella, CRS, and Haemophilus influenza, by more than 99% [1, 2]. Apart from reducing morbidity and suffering in humans, vaccination also has a significant impact on the global economy. In 2009, the routine childhood immunization program in the United States (which includes diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and acellular pertussis, *Haemophilus influenzae* type b conjugate, inactivated poliovirus, measles/mumps/rubella, hepatitis V, varicella, 7-valent pneumococcal conjugate, hepatitis A and rotavirus vaccines) has been expected to have prevented ~42,000 early deaths, 20 million cases of disease, with savings of \$13.5 billion in direct costs and \$68.8 billion in societal costs [3].

1.2 Conventional vaccines

Vaccination is majorly a prophylactic pharmacotherapy that is aimed at generating long-lived immune responses that can protect against infection by the corresponding microbe. Conventional vaccines utilize either a live-attenuated strain of a pathogen with low virulence or a killed pathogen to generate strong immune responses. Although both of these vaccines are effective at generating protective responses, primarily due to their resemblance to natural infection, they have many disadvantages like (i) risk of reversion to virulence, (ii) safety concerns when used in immunocompromised patients, (iii) adverse side-effects in patients, (iv) and also a need for refrigeration to maintain efficacy, which is an issue in developing countries that may not have required facilities [4-6].

1.3 Subunit vaccines

To overcome the disadvantages of conventional vaccines, recent studies have focused on developing vaccines that contain only specific pathogenic components (subunits) that are recognized by the immune system. As these subunit vaccines do not contain any harmful microbial components they are much safer to use in humans. Subunit vaccines contain either whole protein antigens, which are purified directly from the pathogen or synthesized using recombinant DNA technology, or antigenic peptides that are chemically synthesized. However, subunit vaccines have low immunogenicity and are not capable of generating effective immune responses; this requires the addition of components known as adjuvants that can stimulate the immune system. Currently, there are not many adjuvants that are approved for human use and those approved adjuvants have limited capacity to generate cellmediated immune responses. Therefore, there remains a great need for developing novel adjuvants and delivery systems that can improve the efficacy of vaccines [7- 10].

1.4 Vaccine-induced immunity

The goal of vaccination is to generate long-lived antigen-specific immunological memory that can quickly respond to pathogenic invasion and protect against the development of disease [11]. Immunological memory is a hallmark of the adaptive immune system which consists of two major components – humoral and cellular immunity. The success of a vaccine depends on whether it can generate the type of immune response required to protect against the corresponding pathogen. However, the type of immune response generated by a vaccine depends on its contents. For example, vaccines containing live-attenuated pathogens are very potent at generating both humoral and cellular responses. On the other hand, vaccines containing killed inactivated pathogens or antigenic proteins are weakly immunogenic and are unable to generate strong cellular responses[12]. Furthermore, chronic infectious diseases such as AIDS, tuberculosis, and herpes have multiple immune evasive strategies, and so development of vaccines against such diseases requires a thorough understanding of the immunological correlates of protection and the virulence strategies of the pathogen [13].

1.4.1 Humoral immune responses

Protection of the host from infection by humoral immune responses involves the production of antibodies by activated B-cells, which destroy extracellular pathogens and prevent the spread of intracellular pathogens. Activation of B-cells requires the binding of cognate antigen to B-cell receptors and interaction with antigen-specific CD4+ helper T-cells that recognize the peptide-MHC-II complexes derived from the antigen internalized by the B-cell. Some B-cells become plasma cells and the rest will migrate to the germinal center, where they undergo somatic hypermutation and B-cells that produce antibodies with the highest avidity are selected. Helper T-cells promote the survival of germinal center B-cells through CD40-CD40L interactions and release various cytokines like IL-4, IL-5, IFN-γ and TGF-β which regulate the production of different antibody classes. B-cells that survive in the germinal center either differentiate into plasma cells which produce large amounts of an antibody or become memory B-cells which produce small amounts of antibodies (if any) [14].

Antibodies contribute to resistance by either directly neutralizing the pathogen, or by coating the surface of a pathogen, which increases its phagocytosis (opsonization) and activates the proteins of complement system via the classical pathway. Until the early 21st century, it was largely accepted that antibody-mediated responses provide immunity to extracellular pathogens while the immunity to intracellular pathogens rely on cell-mediated immune responses. However, persisting antibody production after immunization is considered as a sign of successful vaccination, due to the fact that antibody-mediated immunity induced by many viral vaccines confers protection against infection. This is because most pathogens are susceptible to antibody-mediated mechanisms during some part of the pathogenesis such as during replication in extracellular spaces or when spreading through extracellular fluids. The majoritymajority of the licensed vaccines produce serum antibody titers that correlate with the level of protection induced by the vaccine [15].

1.4.2 Cellular immune responses

Although intracellular pathogens are susceptible to humoral responses during the brief time they spend in the extracellular spaces, once they have entered into cells the only way to resolve infection is by destroying the infected cells. The

elimination of infected cells without affecting the healthy cells requires cell-mediated immune responses. A cell-mediated immune response is generated when naïve CD8+/CD4+ T-cells come into contact with mature, activated antigen-presenting cells (APCs) in the lymphoid organs. T-cells are activated only when the APC is presenting an antigen specific to the T-cell receptor (TCR) in the context of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules and a co-stimulatory molecule. Once activated, naïve T-cells expand rapidly and differentiate into effector T-cells that can resolve the infection. Effector cytotoxic CD8+ T cells (CTLs) recognize infected cells and kill them by releasing several cytolytic molecules. Effector Th1 type CD4 T-cells activate other antigen-presenting cells (APCs) and B-cells, Th17 type effector cells recruit neutrophils to the site of infection, and Th2 type effector cells recruit eosinophils, basophils and mast cells against pathogens such as helminths. Upon resolution of infection, most of the effector T cells undergo apoptosis, while a small population differentiates into long lived memory cells that can protect from reinfection.

Currently, almost all of the licensed vaccines utilize humoral responses as a correlate of protection. However, it has become well known that humoral responses are a poor correlate for many intracellular infections, and so many studies have been conducted to better characterize cell-mediated immune responses. As of now, measurements of antigen specificity of T-cells and T-cell functional responses to *ex vivo* restimulation with antigen remain as the best correlates of protection.

1.5 Dendritic cells

Antigen processing and presentation to naïve T-cells in the context of MHC I and MHC II molecules is necessary for the generation of adaptive immune responses. This is a job that can only be carried out by APCs – dendritic cells (DCs), macrophages and B-cells. Of these, only DCs are specialized APCs while the other two cell types participate in other functions of the immune system. DCs, often referred to as the most professional APCs, can bind 30-200 times more antigen and are also up to 1000-fold more efficient at activating T-cells than macrophages and B-cells [16]. Given that DCs are the most potent APCs, the type of immune response (proinflammatory or tolerant) generated after an infection or immunization depends on the type of antigen, DC and the microenvironment during antigen uptake and presentation.

1.5.1 DC subsets

DCs are a heterogeneous population of cells with a distinct shape consisting of stellate cytoplasmic projections, which give them with a large surface area for antigen capture and presentation [17]. In mice and humans, all steady state DCs originate from hematopoietic precursors of myeloid or lymphoid pathways. Based on the origin, phenotype and function, DCs can be classified into five subsets: conventional DCs (cDCs 1 and 2), plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs), Langerhans cells (LCs), and monocytederived DCs (moDCs).

Both murine and human cDCs are CD11c+ MHC II+ cells that can be further differentiated into CD8α+ (cDC1) or CD4+ (cDC2) cells in mice and CD1c+ (cDC1) or CD141+ (cDC2) cells in humans. Both murine and human cDC1 cells express high level proteins required for cross-presentation and have the capacity to produce type-I IFN and IL-12 (p70), which gives them the ability to activate CD8+ T cells and prime Th1 helper T-cells [18, 19]. In contrast, cDC2 cells in humans and mice polarize CD4+ T cells to Th2 and Th17 types.

The pDCs in humans and mice are broadly distributed throughout the body and express CD11c, B220, SiglecH, and BST2 in mice versus CD123, BDCA-2 and BDCA-4 in humans. pDCs have a high capacity to produce type-I IFN in response to viral stimuli and play an important role in controlling the progress of viral infections. Murine LCs express langerin (DC207) whereas human LCs are identified as langerin+ DEC205+ CD1a^{hi} CD11c^{lo} cells. The role of LCs in mice seems to be adaptable and is dictated by the microenvironment. In steady state, murine epidermal LCs continuously internalize and process antigens to induce tolerance, whereas during inflammation they produce IL-23, IL-6 and IL-1β, which drive Th17 polarization. In humans, LCs are known to be efficient at cross-presentation to naïve CD8 T-cells and secrete IL-15 which promotes differentiation of granzymeB+/perforin+ CTLs [20]. MoDCs are a special type of DCs that are produced from monocytes during inflammation. MoDCs predominantly drive Th1 and Th17 type immune responses by producing IL-12 or IL-23.

1.5.2 Antigen uptake

DCs are abundantly located throughout the body at strategic locations like body barriers (under the epithelium at mucosal surfaces) and organ entry ports [21, 22]. DCs continuously sample the surrounding environment for both self and non-self antigens using their dendritic appendages and induce immune tolerance or protective responses, depending on the nature of antigen (self or non-self). Immature DCs are very efficient at internalizing antigens from the environment using various endocytic pathways, like macropinocytosis for the uptake of solutes and nutrients and phagocytosis for the uptake of pathogens, apoptotic or dead cells, and large particulate antigens. DCs also have highly specialized receptors like C-type lectin receptors (CLRs), scavenger receptors (SRs) and Fc receptors (FcRs) on the cell surface to capture and internalize antigens via receptor-mediated endocytosis. Upon internalization, the antigen is then processed and presented to T-cells in the context of MHC molecules.

1.5.3 DC Maturation and migration

The nature of the immune response generated towards an antigen depends upon the maturation status of the presenting DC. On the one hand, antigen presentation by immature DCs leads to T-cell anergy and immune tolerance [23, 24]. On the other hand, presentation by mature, activated DCs leads to T-cell activation and an inflammatory response. DCs express several pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), like Toll-like receptors (TLRs), NOD-like receptors (NLRs), RIG-1-like receptors (RLRs) and CLRs, that can recognize pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and damage associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), and triggering of these receptors leads to the activation of DCs. Activation of DCs can also be caused by triggering of FcR by immune complexes or CD40 by CD40L on CD4+ T-cells or by inflammatory cytokines like TNFα, IL-1 and PGE2, secreted as a response to pathogenic infection.

Activation induces several changes in the expression of genes in DCs, leading to secretion of chemokines and cytokines that can recruit other immune cells [25]. It also leads to cytoskeletal rearrangement, downregulation of CCR1, CCR5 and CCR6, and upregulation of CCR7, which allows their migration to lymph nodes [26]. Importantly, activation leads to redistribution of MHC and co-stimulatory molecules (CD80, CD86 and CD40) to the surface of DCs which results in improved T-cell activation [27].

1.5.4 Antigen processing and presentation

Typically, peptides derived from the degradation of cytosolic proteins by proteases are transported into the endoplasmic reticulum by transporters associated with antigen processing (TAP), where they are further processed by the ER aminopeptidase associated with antigen processing (ERAAP) [28], then loaded onto MHC I molecules and presented on the DC cell surface to CD8+ T-cells. Exogenous antigens upon internalization are translocated from the phagosomes into the cytosol, ubiquitinated and degraded into peptides by the proteasome. These peptides are then transported into the ER or back into the same phagosomes, which have acquired TAP and MHC I loading machinery by fusing with the ER, where they are loaded onto MHC I molecules, transported to the cell surface and presented to CD8+ T-cells in a process known as cross-presentation [29, 30].

In contrast to peptide loading of MHC I molecules, loading of MHC II molecules occurs only in the late stage phagolysosomes. Phagosomes containing exogenous antigens or endogenous antigens (taken up during autophagy) fuse with lysosomes containing proteases that degrade the antigens into peptides. These phagolysosomes then fuse with ER vesicles containing the MHC II loading machinery where they load the peptide onto MHC II molecules and transport them to the cell surface to be presented to CD4+ T-cells.

1.6 Targeting antigen to dendritic cells for improving vaccine efficacy

Given the important role DCs play in coordinating adaptive immune responses, targeting the antigen to DCs would potentially improve the immune responses generated. So far, researchers have tried to target antigen to DCs in two ways: (i) by administering vaccines in a way that they accumulate in DC-rich areas and (ii) by targeting the receptors on DCs to mediate internalization and increase immune responses.

1.6.1 Administration-based targeting

Simplest way of getting a vaccine to DC-rich areas is to directly inject the vaccine into lymph nodes. Intranodal immunization with lentiviral vectors encoding tumor-associated antigens or mRNA resulted in a strong CD8+ T-cell response when compared to subcutaneous delivery [31, 32]. Another way of reaching lymph nodes is to administer the vaccine near a lymph node so that the vaccine drains into the lymph node. Intradermal delivery of antigens conjugated to nanoparticles (NPs) has been shown to allow the antigens to drain efficiently into lymph nodes, resulting in an increase in antigen-specific immune responses [33, 34]. However, care must be taken while formulating the vaccine so that the vaccine does not form a depot at the injection site, which might lead to exhausted T-cell responses and reduce vaccine efficacy [35- 37].

1.6.2 Targeting DC receptors

DCs express several endocytic cell surface receptors that can be used as targets for vaccine delivery (Table 1.2). So far, numerous DC-targeting vaccines have been evaluated in pre-clinical and clinical stages (Table 1.3). Mostly, five receptor families have been evaluated for DC targeting: CLRs, integrins, Fcγ receptors, MHC II molecules and stimulatory receptors. Of these, CLRs are the most extensively studied receptors. CLRs contain carbohydrate recognition domains (CRDs) that bind to sugars in calcium-dependent manner. CLRs have the ability to internalize glycosylated antigens, resulting in antigen processing and presenting in the context of MHC molecules and making them an attractive target for vaccine delivery. Amongst CLRs, macrophage mannose receptor (CD206), DEC-205, and DC-SIGN are the most examined candidates for DC targeting.

1.6.2.1 Macrophage mannose receptor

CD206 is a type I CLR, which upon ligand binding is internalized through clathrin-coated vesicles and recycled through the early endosomes. CD206-mediated endocytosis of antigens has been shown to result in antigen presentation in the context of both MHC I and II molecules. It has been demonstrated that immunization with mannan-conjugated MUC1 induces both Th1 and Th2 type immune responses based on the type of mannan. MUC-1 conjugated to oxidized mannan was shown to be 1000 times more efficient at generating antigen-specific CTL and Th1 responses when compared to MUC1 conjugated to reduced mannan, which generated Th2 type responses [38]. Coating of cationic liposomes containing HIV-1 DNA with mannan was also shown to induce HIV-specific CTL responses and significantly enhanced Th1 type immune responses as seen by antibody isotyping and cytokine secretions [39]. It has also been demonstrated that targeting CD206 using a fusion protein of human anti-CD206 mAb and melanoma-associated antigen pmel17 or model antigen ovalbumin (OVA) generates potent antigen-specific CTLs [40, 41].

1.6.2.2 DEC205

Unlike CD206, DEC205 (CD205) is recycled through late endosomes that are rich in MHC II molecules; because of this, antigens internalized through DEC205 mediated endocytosis are efficiently presented through MHC II molecules [42]. However, the DEC205 endocytic pathway is non-stimulatory and does not activate DCs, which results in the induction of T-cell tolerance [43, 44]. Therefore, in order to generate antigen-specific immunity, immunostimulatory adjuvants have to be included in DEC205-targeting vaccines. Thus far, DEC205-targeted vaccines have used HB290 single chain antibody (scFv) as a targeting moiety. Immunization with scFv-coated liposomes containing OVA or OVA peptide antigens were found to generate potent OVA-specific CTL responses that reduced growth of B16-OVA tumors in mice. Protection was depended on the concurrent delivery of OVA and an adjuvant (IFN-γ or LPS) [44]. Also, immunization of mice with OVA conjugated to anti-DEC205 mAb and anti-CD40 mAb protected against mucosal challenge[45].

1.6.2.3 Dendritic cell-specific ICAM-grabbing non-integrin (DC-SIGN)

DC-SIGN is a type II CLR that is primarily expressed on immature DCs; it recognizes various pathogens such as EbolaEbola, herpes and HIV viruses. Like DEC205, DC-SIGN-mediated endocytosis is routed through late endosomes where the antigen is loaded onto MHC II molecules and presented to CD4+ T-cells [46]. Targeting antigen to DC-SIGN using anti-DC-SIGN mAb was shown to induce proliferation in antigen-specific T-cells at a 100-fold lower concentration than nontargeted antigen [47]. Additionally, glycoliposomes modified with the glycan Lewis (Le)(X), which is highly specific for DC-SIGN, were preferentially internalized by human monocyte-derived DCs and also induced antigen-specific CD8+ T-cell responses when the glycoliposomes were loaded with gp100 tumor antigen and the adjuvant MPLA [48].

1.7 Immunomodulatory properties of C5a

The complement system is an important part of innate immunity, which plays an integral role in the defense against invading pathogens. Activation of complement system in response to pathogens, immune complexes, or injured tissue results in a series of events that includes release of several biologically active peptide fragments called anaphylatoxins, C3a, C4a and C5a, that have diverse biological functions [49]. Of the three anaphylatoxins, C5a is the most potent and binds to at least two seventransmembrane receptors, C5aR and C5L2 that are expressed on multiple cell types especially immune cells like DCs, macrophages, neutrophils and T-cells. C5a has several immunological functions including recruitment of inflammatory cells, increase vascular permeability and induce smooth muscle contraction, mast cell and neutrophil degranulation and various cytokines (Fig 1.2)[50-56]. Apart from its immunological functions C5a has also been implicated in developmental biology, CNS development and neurodegeneration, tissue regeneration and haematopoiesis[57]. C5a has also been shown to enhance antigen-specific antibody responses, antigen-induced T-cell proliferation[58, 59], provide costimulatory and survival signals to naïve CD4+ T-cells. C5a also stimulates murine and human DCs resulting in the upregulation of MHC II, CD80, CD86, CD40 and CD54, and secretion of Th1 polarizing cytokines [60-62]. In contrast, hindering of C5aR signaling promoted Th2 type and regulatory T cell responses[63], and impaired memory CD4+ T cell generation [64].

1.8 Development of EP54 and EP67

Given the immunostimulatory properties of C5a, its use as a vaccine adjuvant has tremendous promise. However, its use in clinic is limited by the potential side effects that arise due to its pleotropic properties. Therefore, it became necessary to identify the effector fragment of C5a that has immunostimulatory properties but not inflammatory properties for clinical use[65, 66].

Human C5a is a 74-amino acid peptide that consists of two domains, first, the N-terminal core $(C5a_{1-63})$ domain that is responsible C5aR recognition and binding and second, the functional C-terminal domain $(C5a_{64-74})$ which is necessary for inducing biological functions (fig 1.3) [67]. Peptide analogs of C-terminal region (C5a $_{65}$ ⁷⁴) were demonstrated to induce several C5a-like biological effects which depended on the peptide conformation[68]. This led to the hypothesis that conformationally restricted C5a₆₅₋₇₄ analogs would induce specific C5aR-mediated activities. Examination of a large panel of analogs based on C5a₆₅₋₇₄ Y65, F67 (YSKKDMQLGR) in which the backbone of MQLGR was restricted resulted in the discovery of EP54 (YSFKPMPLaR), which demonstrated significantly more potent ability to induce smooth muscle contraction of human umbilical artery [66, 69-71], however, EP54 also triggered the C5aR on PMNs inducing β-glucuronidase release. Further analoging of EP54 by introducing N-methylation generated EP67, which demonstrated ~3000 fold higher selectivity towards C5aR expressed on antigen presenting cells compared to PMNs, in contrast, EP54 was only 34 fold more selective[66]. Furthermore, these conformational features protect EP54 and EP67 from proteolytic degradation by serum carboxy-peptidases.

1.8.1 Vaccine adjuvant properties of EP54 and EP67

Because of the potent immunostimulatory properties of EP54 and EP67, several studies have investigated their ability as a vaccine adjuvant (Table 1.4) to improve antigen-specific humoral and cellular immune responses. Immunization of mice and rabbits with a B-cell epitope form MUC1 conjugated to N-terminal of EP54 generated high MUC1-specific antibody titers, with an isotype characteristic to Th1 type response in mice[67]. Also, EP54 conjugated to a T-cell epitope from hepatitis B surface antigen was shown to generate CD8+ CTL responses in mice. In the same

study, it was also shown that it necessary to conjugate the T-cell epitope to the Nterminus of EP54 using a protease labile linker to generate CTL responses[72]. Similarly, EP67-conjugated protein vaccines were also shown to generate Th1-type humoral immune responses, furthermore, EP67 was demonstrated to generate higher antibody responses and Th1-type isotype switching in aged mice which were not observed when CpG or alum were used as adjuvants [73]. Recently, we have also shown preliminary evidence that EP67 can be used as a mucosal adjuvant in mice[74]. It is important to note that EP54 and EP67 have been shown to increase uptake, processing and presentation of the conjugated antigen by interacting with the C5aRs present on APCs, while simultaneously inducing the release of Th1 type cytokines making them effective dual purpose vaccine adjuvants[75].

1.9 Summary

In summary, targeting of antigen presenting cells, especially dendritic cells, appears to be a promising strategy to improve the efficacy of vaccines against many life-threatening diseases. Despite the tremendous progress, we have made in APC targeted vaccines a successful human vaccine is yet to be developed. Therefore, there is a need to research more ways to target antigen to APCs. Unlike, most vaccine adjuvants EP67 is a host-derived dual purpose immunostimulant with the capacity to simultaneously, target and activate APCs and has shown great potential as an adjuvant. This dissertation is an effort to explore the ability of EP67 to deliver encapsulated antigen to APCs and increase immune responses generated against the encapsulated antigen.

Figure1.1 Schematic representation of the different stages involved in the induction and regulation of cell-mediated and humoral immune response against pathogens.

Reproduced with permission from [15]

Figure 1.2 Role of Complement C5a in host defense and homeostasis.

Reproduced with permission from [76]

Figure 1.3. Structure of human C5a

Table 1.2: Summary of dendritic cell receptors targeted for vaccine

Receptor Designation Function 1. Group 1 C-type lectin receptors 1.1. Mannose receptor CD206 Expressed on macrophages and DCs. Binds to mannan, mannose, fucose, glucose, maltose, GlcNAc, lipoarabinomannan, cell wall of yeast, viruses, and bacteria leading to phagocytosis/endocytosis. Used to target protein, peptides, DNA, dendrimers, liposomes, and anti-MR antibodies for vaccine development withTh1, Th2, CTL, and Ab responses induced. Targeting antigens to MR using mannan has been used in human clinical trials. 1.2. DEC205 CD205 Ly 75 Homologous to the mannose receptor. Expressed on DCs and thymic epithelial cells. Targeting induces an array of immune responses. 2. Group 2 C-type lectin receptors 2.1. Dendritic cell-specific intercellular adhesion molecule-3 grabbing nonintegrin (DC-SIGN CD209 Clec4L Expressed on immature DCs, macrophages endothelial vascular cells, atherosclerotic plaques, and lymphatic vessels, not on placmacytoid DCs. Binds to mannan, mannose, fucose, GlcNAc, GalNAc, yeast, lewis blood group antigens Lex, HIV-1 gp120, Ebola virus, hepatitis C virus, dengue virus, respiratory syncytial virus, measles virus, Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Leishmania amastigote, Helicobacter pylori, Leishmania mexicana, Schistosoma mansoni, Porphyromonas gingivalis, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, Candida albicans, house dust mite (Der p1), and dog allergens (Can f1). Interacts with ICAM-3 and ICAM-2. Targeting DC-SIGN using antigen linked to anti-DC-SIGN antibodies, Manalpha-6 Man, lactoside, and Lewis oligosaccharide, stimulates T-cell and/or antibody responses, and has been studied as a potential receptor for vaccine targeting. Eight murine homologs

identified, SIGN-R1 (CD209b) to SIGN-R8.

development. Adapted with permission from[78]

 \sim

Targeting moiety	Injection	Effect	Ref.
DEC205			
α-GalCer NP	fp	↑iNKT, Jgrowth in B16F10, and EG7-OVA $(P + T)$	$[79]$
Selected nucleic acid aptamer	i.v.	↑CD8, Jgrowth OVA-B16 tumor (T if OT-I transfer)	[80]
Anti-CD11c and DEC205 scFv coupled to NP	i.v.	↑CD8, ↓growth OVA-B16 tumor (P)	$[44]$
mAb fused protein	S.C.	\uparrow CD8, \downarrow growth OVA-B16 (P + T)	$[45]$
mAb fused protein	i.p.	↑CD8, ↑CD4, ↑humoral, and Jgrowth neu-expressing mammary tumor (P)	[81, 82]
mAb fused protein	i.p.	↑ cross-presentation, ↑ CD4, ↑humoral, and lgrowth neu- expressing mammary tumor (P)	[83]
scFV modified adenoviral vector	fp	↑ T cell, ↑ humoral (at low doses), ↓growth OVA-B16 (P) BUT better for untargeted vectors	[84]
mAb fused protein	fp	↑CD8, ↓growth B16 pseudo- metastasis model $(P + T)$	[85]
Bacteriophage displaying scFV	fp	\downarrow growth B16F10 (Pro + Ther)	[86]
mAb fused protein	S.C.	↑CD8, ↑CD4, and ↓growth B16 melanoma $(P + T)$	[87]
scFV fused to DNA vaccine	i.m.	↑CD8, ↑humoral, long lasting memory Igrowth ER2/neu+ D2F2/E2 breast tumor + spontaneous mammary carcinomas $(P + T)$	[88]

Table 1.3: Summary of APC targeting studies. Adapted with permission from [77]**.**

P: prophylactic, T: therapeutic, fp: footpad, i.v.: intravenous, s.c.: subcutaneous, i.p.: intraperitoneal, i.m.: intramuscular, EP: electroporated, i.d.: intradermal, i.n.: intranodal, i.t.: intratumoral, U: unknown, CPM: cyclophosphamide iNKT: induced natural killer T cell.

Table 1.4: Vaccine adjuvant properties of EP54 and EP67

CHAPTER 2

Hypothesis and Specific Aims

2.1 Hypothesis

Antigen presentation by APCs to T-cells is the bridge between innate and adaptive immune systems and dendritic cells as the most professional antigen presenting cells play a central role in shaping the immune response. Therefore, many strategies have been explored to harness DCs and other APCs to improve vaccine efficacy, of these *in vivo* targeting of APCs using ligands and antibodies against several APC surface receptors has shown promise in preclinical studies. However, most of these studies have focused on PRRs, which recognize foreign antigens, as the targets for antigen delivery and have ignored the complement receptors, which respond to molecules produced by the host complement system. EP67 is a hostderived decapeptide, based on complement component 5a (C5a), that selectively binds to the C5a receptor (C5aR/CD88) on APCs, resulting in their activation and subsequent increase in processing and presentation of conjugated antigen.

The primary objective of this work is to develop C5aR-targeted PLGA nanoparticles to improve immune responses against the encapsulated antigen, using EP67 as the targeting moiety. The central hypothesis of this work is that surface modification of PLGA nanoparticles encapsulating model antigen ovalbumin with EP67 can target the nanoparticles to APCs, and generate efficacious immune responses against the encapsulated antigen. The hypothesis has been tested through the following specific aims.

2.2 Specific Aims

2.2.1 Specific Aim-1 – Develop C5aR-targeted PLGA nanoparticles by first functionalizing the surface of nanoparticles with PLLA-PEG-MAL linker and then conjugating EP67 to the particles.

2.2.2 Specific Aim -2 – To determine the effect of surface modification of PLGA nanoparticles with EP67 on antigen presentation by bone marrow-derived dendritic cells *in vitro*.

2.2.3 Specific Aim – 3 – To determine the efficacy of respiratory immunization with EP67 surface-modified nanoparticles to protect against primary respiratory infection with *Listeria monocytogenes* expressing ovalbumin and to evaluate the immune responses generated.

CHAPTER 3

Materials and Methods

3.1 Peptides

CGRR-EP67 (CGRR-YSFKDMP[MeL]aR) [70] and inactive scrambled CGRRscEP67 (CGRR-M[MeL]RYKPaFDS) [75] were synthesized by standard Fmoc (9 fluorenyl-methoxycarbonyl) solid-phase methods on a pre-loaded Arg or Ser Wang resin, respectively, by sequential coupling of the HBTU (2-(1Hbenzotriazole-1-yl-1,1,3,3-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate) esters of each amino acid as described [66]. Peptides were cleaved from the resin by acidolysis with TFA (trifluoroacetic acid) containing phenol [5% v/v], water [2% v/v], and triisopropylsilane [2.5% v/v] as scavengers. Cleaved peptides were purified by analytical and preparative reverse-phase HPLC, with C18-bonded silica columns using 0.1% TFA $(dH₂O$ containing TFA $[0.1\%$ v/v]) as the running buffer (solvent B) and 0.1% TFAcontaining acetonitrile [60% v/v] (solvent B) as the eluent, and lyophilized.

3.2 Encapsulation of ovalbumin in biodegradable surface-modified PLGA nanoparticles

Model antigen ovalbumin (OVA; Sigma-Aldrich) was purified by passing through a Detox-Gel™ endotoxin removal column (Thermo Scientific) and conjugated to fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC-OVA) before encapsulating in biodegradable maleimide-functionalized nanoparticles (NP) at 10 wt% theoretical loading using a modified double-emulsification / solvent evaporation (ESE) method [133, 134]. The primary water-oil emulsion ($W₁/O$) was formed by adding an endotoxin-free "water" solution of OVA in PBS (50 mg/mL; 0.2mL) drop-wise to a vortexing (500 RPM)

dichloromethane (DCM) "oil" solution containing ester-terminated 50:50 poly D,Llactic-co-glycolic acid (PLGA 50:50; research grade; inherent viscosity 0.58 dL/g; Lactel Pelham, AL; 50 mg / mL DCM; 2 mL) in an 8 mL scintillation glass vial and sonicated on ice for 30 sec (pulse time - 10 sec; interval – 2 sec) at 70% amplitude (Misonix Sonicator 3000 w/ model 419 tapered microtip horn with 0.125 in. diameter tip). The W1/O emulsion was immediately transferred dropwise to a vortexing PVA solution (5% v/v polyvinyl alcohol [70% hydrolyzed; 30,000 -70,000 Da; Sigma-Aldrich] in dH2O; 8 mL) in a 20-mL scintillation glass vial and sonicated to form the secondary water-oil-water emulsion $(W_1/O/W_2)$. The secondary emulsion was then transferred to a fresh 20-mL scintillation glass vial and the NPs were surface-functionalized with maleimide by adding a DCM solution containing the diblock copolymer poly L-lactic acid – polyethylene glycol-maleimide (PLLA-PEG-MAL [10 kDa-2 kDa; Polyscitech]; 20 mg/mL; 200 µL) to the emulsion. The emulsion was stirred (1000 rpm using a cross shaped 9.5 \times 4.7 mm, Teflon-coated, smooth stirring bar) for 18 h to fully remove DCM. Hardened NP was pelleted (25,000 RCF, for 15 min at 4°C) then resuspended and pelleted 3X using dH_2O (50 mL) to remove residual PVA. Washed particles were resuspended in dH_2O (10 mL) in a pre-weighed 20-mL scintillation vial, flash frozen in liquid N2, lyophilized for 48 h, and stored at -20°C until further use. Maleimidefunctionalized NP were then coated with CGRR-EP67/CGRR-scEP67 by adding peptide solution in PBS (1.4 mg/mL; 0.5 mL) to a suspension of NP in PBS (2 mg/mL; 5 mL) in an 8 mL scintillation glass vial and stirring for 12 h (1000 rpm; cross-shaped 9.5 \times 4.7 mm stirring bar). Surface modified NPs were then washed 3X in dH₂O (50

mL), resuspended in dH_2O (10 mL), flash frozen in liquid N2, lyophilized for 48 h, and stored at -20°C after sealing with parafilm.

3.3 OVA loading in PLGA particles

OVA loading was determined as described with modification [135]. Particles (-10 mg) were allowed to equilibrate to r.t., added to DMSO (0.5 mL) in an 8-mL glass vial, and incubated for 1 hr on with constant shaking. A solution of 0.05 M NaOH/0.5% SDS in dH2O (5 mL) was added to the DMSO/particle solution and the entire solution was stirred (400 RPM) in the capped vial overnight. The undissolved polymer was pelleted (10,000 RCF, 10 min) and average OVA concentration in the supernatant was determined by Pierce Micro BCA assay (Thermo Scientific) with OVA as the standard and DMSO/0.05 N NaOH (0.05% SDS) as the diluent. For scEP67/EP67 surface modified NPs, maleimide-functionalized NPs were stirred for 12 h in PBS (Section 2.1), washed, and lyophilized before determining OVA loading. Average µg OVA/ mg formulation \pm SD (n=3) was calculated by multiplying OVA concentration from the assay by the volume of the sample (5.5 mL) and encapsulation efficiency (EE%) was calculated as

$$
EE\% = \frac{Assayed \mu g\ OVA \ mg\ particles}{Theoretical \mu g\ OVA \ mg\ particles} \ x\ 100
$$

3.4 Diameter and zeta potential of PLGA nanoparticles

Average hydrodynamic diameters and zeta-potentials \pm SD (n=3 independent samples from the same batch) of the particles were measured in dH_2O (0.5 mg/mL)

at 25°C using a ZetaSizer Nano ZA (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK) equipped with a He-Ne laser (λ = 633 nm) as the incident beam.

3.5 Generation and culture of bone marrow-derived dendritic cells.

Bone marrow-derived dendritic cells (BMDCs) were generated as described [136, 137]. Femurs and tibiae were harvested from the hind limbs and cleaned by scraping the muscle tissue using a sterile surgical scalpel (#15, Aspen Surgical, Caledonia, MI). Bones were sterilized by placing in 70% ethanol for 5 min and rinsed 2X with RPMI-1640 medium. Bone marrow was exposed by cutting off the ends with a sterile scissors and flushed out with complete RPMI (5 mL) (cRPMI; RPMI-1640 [Hyclone, Logan, UT] containing heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum [HI-FBS, 10% v/v, Atlanta Biologicals, Atlanta, GA], L-glutamine [2 mM], sodium pyruvate [1 mM], non-essential amino acids [0.1 mM], MEM vitamin solution [1X], penicillin G [100 U/mL], streptomycin sulfate [100 µg/mL], β-mercaptoethanol [50 µM]) using a 25 gauge needle. Bone marrow pieces were broken down by gently pipetting the media and the resulting cell suspension was passed through a 70 µm cell strainer (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ). Cells were pelleted (400 RCF;10 min at 4°C), supernatant discarded, and erythrocytes lysed by resuspending in RBC lysis buffer (5 mL, 5 min at RT, RBC lysis buffer: 155 mM NH₄Cl, 10 mM KHCO₃, 0.1 mM EDTA). Bone marrow cells were then washed 2X with RPMI-1640, and cultured in 100 mm petri dishes $(4 \times 10^6 \text{ cells/dish})$ containing BMDC media (cRPMI supplemented with rmGM-CSF [20 ng/mL, Peprotech, Rocky Hill, NJ]). On day 3, fresh BMDC media (10 mL) was added to the dishes. On day 6,six half of the media was collected, cells were

pelleted, resuspended in BMDC media (10 mL) and added back to the dishes. BMDCs were collected on day 8 and used for further experiments.

3.6 Internalization of nanoparticles by BMDCs

Internalization of NPs by BMDCs was measured by culturing BMDCs $(4 \times 10^5$ cells/well; 24-well plate) in cRPMI (1 mL) containing FITC-OVA encapsulated, uncoated NP [NP(FITC-OVA); 20 µg], FITC-OVA encapsulated NP surface modified with scrambled EP67 [scEP67-NP(FITC-OVA); 20 µg] or EP67 [EP67-NP(FITC-OVA); 20 µg] for 2 h at 37 $\textdegree C/5\%$ CO₂. To control for adsorption of NP onto the cell surface, BMDCs were allowed to equilibrate at 4 \degree C for one h, then treated with NP and incubated for 2 h at 4 \degree C. Cells were washed 3X in PBS (1 mL), stained with Zombie Yellow, anti-mouse CD11c-PE Cy5 (clone N418, eBioscience, San Diego, CA) and analyzed by flow cytometry.

3.7 Activation of BMDCs

Activation of BMDCs by NPs was measured by culturing BMDCs (4×10^5) cells/well; 24-well plate) in cRPMI (1 mL) containing NP(OVA) [100 µg], scEP67- NP(OVA) [100 µg] or EP67-NP(OVA) [100 µg] for 24 h at 37 \degree C/5% CO₂. Cells were then washed 3X in PBS (1 mL), resuspended in sterile PBS (0.1 mL) containing Zombie Yellow (1 µL, BioLegend), incubated at r.t. for 20 min in the dark, FACS Stain Buffer (0.1 mL) was then added and cells were pelleted (500 RCF, 4°C, 5 min.). Supernatants were aspirated and Fc receptors were blocked by resuspending in FACS Stain Buffer (0.1 mL/well) containing mouse BD Fc Block (1 μ g / 10⁶ cells), and incubating on ice for 20 min. FACS Stain Buffer (0.1 mL) was then added and cells

were pelleted (500 RCF, 4°C, 5 min.). Cell surfaces were stained for activation markers by resuspending the cells in FACS Stain Buffer (50 μL) containing half the manufacturer's suggested amount of PE-Cy5 Anti-Mouse CD11c [Clone N418] (eBioscience), PE Anti-Mouse MHC-II [Clone M5/114.15.2] (Miltenyi), FITC Anti-Mouse CD80 [Clone 16-10A1] (Miltenyi), APC Anti-Mouse CD86 [Clone PO3.3] (Miltenyi), then incubating on ice in the dark for 30 min. FACS Stain Buffer (0.15 mL) was then added and cells were pelleted (500 RCF, 4°C, 5 min.). Cells were then fixed by resuspending cells in Fixation Buffer (0.1 mL/well; BioLegend), and incubating on ice for 20 min. Cells were then prepared for flow cytometry by washing in FACS Stain Buffer (0.2 ml) (3X).

Cells were analyzed on a BD LSR II flow cytometer (Becton and Dickinson, La Jolla, CA) with BD High Throughput Sampler. Flow cytometer was compensated using single-stained cells, and thea maximum number of events were acquired and analyzed by FlowJo software (Tree Star, Ashland, OR, USA).

3.8 Detection of antigen presentation by BMDCs

Antigen presentation of OVA epitopes (OVA $_{257-264}$ /SIINFEKL – H-2K^b peptide ligand; OVA₃₂₃₋₃₃₉ /I-A^b peptide ligand) by BMDCs was measured by T-cell hybridoma assay using OVA responsive T-cell hybridomas CD8OVA1.3 (OVA₂₅₇₋₂₆₄) and DOBW (OVA323-339) (kindly donated by C.V Harding, Case Western Reserve University) [138, 139]. Day 8 BMDCs $(4 \times 10^5 \text{ cells/well}; 24$ -well plate) were cultured in cRPMI (1 mL) containing NP(OVA) [100 µg], scEP67-NP(OVA) [100 µg] or EP67-NP(OVA) [100 µg] for 2 h at 37 $\rm{°C}/5\%$ CO₂. BMDCs were then washed 2X in PBS (1 mL) and co-cultured with equal number of CD8OVA1.3 or DOBW cells (5 x 10⁴ cells/well; 96-well plate) in D10F media (0.2 mL) (DMEM/High Glucose [Hyclone, Logan, UT] containing HI-FBS [10% v/v], penicillin/streptomycin [100 U/ml], sodium pyruvate [1 mM], HEPES [10 mM], and 2-mercaptoethanol [0.5 mM]) for 18 h at 37 \textdegree C/5% CO₂[140]. Cells were then pelleted (2000 RCF; 10 min; $4 \degree C$), and supernatants collected and stored at -80 ^oC until analyzed for secreted IL-2 by ELISA (Mouse IL-2 ELISA MAX[™] Standard, BioLegend) per manufacturer's instructions.

3.9 Respiratory Immunization

All animal procedures were approved by University of Nebraska Medical Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Naïve female C57BL6/NHsd mice (4 weeks old, Envigo) were housed under pathogen-free conditions and allowed to acclimatize for at least one week before experiments. Animals were immunized with sterile PBS (50 µL) or sterile PBS containing NP-OVA or EP67 NP-OVA (25 µg OVA equivalent) on days 0 and seven by intranasal instillation, which is expected to deliver the vaccine to entire respiratory tract and lungs[141, 142]. For intranasal instillation, mice were anesthetized with Ketamine/Xylazine cocktail (105/5 mg/kg, I.P), held upright and the vaccine was administered by both nostrils using a 200 µL micropipette.

3.10 LM-OVA respiratory challenge and quantitation of bacterial burden.

Recombinant *Listeria monocytogenes* expressing ovalbumin (LM-OVA) (kindly donated by John T. Harty, University of Iowa) [143] was cultured in Tryptic soy broth (Sigma-Aldrich) containing streptomycin sulfate (50 μ g/mL) at 37 °C in a shaking incubator (150 RPM) for 24 h. Sterile glycerol (1 mL; 80% v/v) was added to LM-OVA culture (9 mL), aliquoted into cryovials (1 mL) and stored at -80 \degree C until the day of infection. LM-OVA titers in one of the frozen stocks were determined one day before immunization.

At fourteen days post-immunization (Day 21), animals were infected with a sublethal dose of LM-OVA (2 \times 10⁷ CFU) in the same method as vaccines. Three days post-infection (Day 24) animals were sacrificed and the organs were harvested (lungs, liver and spleen). Bacterial burden in the organs was determined by homogenizing the organs in cRPMI (1 mL) using a hand-held homogenizer and 10-fold serial dilutions were prepared using D-PBS as diluent. The dilutions (20 µL) were pipetted onto a TSB-agar plate (100 mm; MIDSCI), the plates were allowed to dry in a sterile hood and incubated for 24 h at 37 $^{\circ}$ C[144]. The number of bacterial colonies was then counted by eye. Average LM-OVA titers/g of tissue was calculated as

$$
\frac{LM - OVA \, CFU}{g} = number \, of \, colonies \times DF \times \frac{volume \, of \, homogenate \, (mL)}{sample \, volume \, (mL) \times organ \, weight}
$$

Where the *number of colonies* was taken from the highest dilution where 5 to 50 colonies were observed, *DF* was the selected dilution factor, the *sample volume* is the volume plated (0.02 mL) and volume of homogenate = [volume of cRPMI (1 mL) + organ weight].

3.11 Preparation of lung lymphocytes and splenocytes

Fourteen days' post-immunization (Day 21), on the same day as respiratory challenge with LM-OVA, mice were euthanized, and the lungs were perfused with sterile DPBS (5 mL) via right ventricle of the heart and harvested. Lungs were then dissected into small pieces using a sterile scissors and transferred to a sterile C-Tube (Miltenyi) containing cRPMI (5 mL) with collagenase IV (2 mg/mL; Worthington Enzymes). Lungs were then homogenized using Miltenyi tissue dissociator ("m lung 01" setting) and incubated for 1 h at 37 \degree C in a shaking incubator (200 RPM, Vortemp). Lungs were homogenized again on the tissue dissociator ("m_lung_01" setting) and a single cell suspension was obtained by passing the cells through a sterile 40 µm cell strainer. Cells were then pelleted (400 RCF, 4°C, 5 min), supernatant decanted and resuspended in cRPMI (5 mL) in a sterile 15 mL conical tube (BD Falcon). Lympholyte-M solution (5 mL; Cedarlane Labs) was under-layed below the cell suspension using a sterile Pasteur pipette and centrifuged (1500 RCF, 20 mins, RT, no brakes) to separate lymphocytes. Lymphocytes at the interphase were collected, washed 1X with sterile PBS, resuspended in cRPMI and stored on ice until used.

To prepare single cell suspensions of splenocytes, spleens were first homogenized using a tissue dissociator ("m spleen 01" setting) in cRPMI (5 mL), passed through a 70 µm cell strainer and diluted with sterile DPBS (45 mL). Cells were then pelleted (500 RCF, 4°C, 10 min), the supernatant was decanted, and the cells were resuspended in RBC lysis buffer (4 mL) and incubated for 5-7 min at RT. Cells were then passed through a 40 µm cell strainer, washed twice with D-PBS (50 mL), resuspended in cRPMI and stored on ice until used. Percent viability and total live cell counts were determined by trypan blue exclusion using a Cellometer Auto T4 cell counter (Nexcelom Biosciences).

3.12 Surface phenotyping of antigen-specific T-cells

The surface phenotype of antigen specific T-cells was determined at 14 days post-immunization (Day 21) by flow cytometry. Lung lymphocytes and splenocytes were isolated and plated in 96-well plates $(10^6 \text{ cells}/0.1 \text{ mL/well})$. Cells were washed twice by pelleting (400 RCF, 4°C, 5 min.) and resuspending in DPBS (0.2 mL). Dead cells were then stained by incubating the cells in DPBS (0.1 mL) containing Zombie NIR dve $(1 \mu L/10^6 \text{ cells})$, and the cells were incubated for 20 min at RT in dark. Cells were then washed once with BD Stain Buffer (0.1 mL) and Fc receptors were blocked by incubating in BD Stain Buffer (0.1 mL) containing mouse BD Fc Block (1 μ g / 10⁶ cells) and incubating on ice for 20 min. Cells were washed with BD Stain Buffer (0.1 mL) and stained with OVA tetramers by incubating with BD Stain Buffer (50 µL) containing MHC Class-I Tetramers-BV421 (NIH Tetramer core - H-2Kb / SIINFEKL) or MHC Class-II Tetramers-BV421 (NIH Tetramer core-I-Ab / HAAHAEINEA) (1 µg/ 10 6 cells) for 30 mins at 37 \degree C in the dark. Cells were then washed with BD Stain Buffer (0.15 mL) and stained with cell surface markers by incubating in BD Stain Buffer (50 µL) containing half the manufacturer's suggested amount of FITC Anti-Mouse CD8a FITC (Clone 53-6.7; BioLegend) or Alexa flour 488 Anti-Mouse CD4 (Clone GK1.5;BioLegend), PE Anti-Mouse CD127 (Clone A7R34;BioLegend), APC Anti-Mouse KLRG1 (Clone 2F1;BioLegend), PE/Dazzle Anti-Mouse CD62L (Clone MEL-14;BioLegend) and PE/Cy5 Anti-Mouse CD44 (Clone IM7;BioLegend) on ice for 30 min in the dark. Cells were then washed in BD Stain Buffer (0.15 mL) and fixed by incubating with Fixation Buffer (0.1 mL) on ice for 20 min. Cells were then washed twice with BD Stain Buffer (0.2 mL), resuspended in BD Stain Buffer (0.2 mL) and

analyzed on a BD LSR-II flow cytometer. Splenocytes from immunized animals were used as single-stains for tetramers and BD CompBeads (Anti- Rat/Hamster Igκ) were used as single-stains for all the other antibodies for compensation settings. Maximum number of events from each sample were acquired and analyzed by FLowJo software (Tree Star, Ashland, OR, USA).

3.13 Quantitation of cytokine secretion by epitope responsive splenocytes

The cytokine secretion profile of splenocytes harvested 14 days postimmunization was determined by re-stimulating cells (2 × 10⁶ cells) *ex vivo* by incubating with $CRPMI$ (0.4 mL) containing OVA I- A^b epitope (ISQAVHAAHAEINEAGR; 10 μ g/mL) in a 48-well plate for 48 h at 37 °C/5% CO₂. Supernatants were collected and stored in -80 \degree C freezer until analyzed, using a multiplex assay (Mouse Th17 Magnetic Bead Panel, Millipore) per manufacturer's instructions. The concentration of cytokines was measured as median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of at least 50 beads per cytokine. Standards were measured in duplicates for seven concentrations including a blank control. Standard curves for each cytokine were plotted using a five-parameter logistic curve fit (www.myassays.com).

CHAPTER 4

RESULTS

Encapsulation of protective proteins or peptides in nanoparticles composed of biodegradable poly (lactide-co-glycolic acid) polymers is a well-established platform to improve efficacy of subunit vaccines by (i) protecting vaccines from enzymatic degradation, (ii) increasing residence time at administration site, (iii) facilitating uptake by APCs because of their particulate nature and, (iv) acting as an adjuvant that can activate APCs. Moreover, the surface of nanoparticles can also be modified with various ligands or antibodies that can target APCs to further improve vaccine efficacy. Several studies have demonstrated that modifying the surface of nanoparticles with ligands or antibodies targeting intracellular and extracellular pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) such as Toll-like receptors (TLRs), C-type lectin receptors (CRRs) and NOD-like receptors (NLRs) can induce humoral and cell-mediated immune responses against encapsulated antigens.

In our previous studies, we have demonstrated that EP67, a host-derived decapeptide based on complement component 5a (C5a) of the innate immune system, acts as an immunostimulant and an adjuvant capable of generating Th1 biased humoral and cellular immune responses in mice against a covalently conjugated peptide, protein or inactivated pathogens upon systemic administration. In our recent studies, we have also shown that respiratory immunization with an EP67 based vaccine composed of protective CD8+ T-cell epitopes from murine cytomegalovirus (MCMV) protects against primary respiratory infection with MCMV and increases the proportions of epitope-specific long-lived memory precursor effector cells (MPEC) in the lungs and spleen compared to an inactive, scrambled EP67conjugated CTL peptide vaccine and vehicle alone, suggesting that EP67 can act as a mucosal adjuvant.

EP67 is expected to act as an adjuvant, in large part, by selectively binding to the C5a receptor (C5aR/CD88) on APCs, resulting in their activation and subsequent increase in processing and presentation of conjugated antigen. Thus, we hypothesized that surface modification of biodegradable PLGA nanoparticles with EP67 would increase the efficacy of immune responses against the encapsulated antigen. To test this hypothesis, we encapsulated a model antigen ovalbumin (OVA) in biodegradable PLGA nanoparticles, modified the surface of nanoparticles with EP67 using the interfacial activity assisted surface functionalization (IAASF) technique. We then compared the extent to which respiratory immunization with OVA encapsulated in the EP67 surface-modified, unmodified nanoparticles or vehicle alone protects naïve female C57Bl/6 mice against primary respiratory infection with recombinant *Listeria monocytogenes* expressing soluble OVA (LM-OVA) and affects the generation of systemic and mucosal cellular responses. Additionally, we have also tested the effect that EP67 surface modification of nanoparticles has on their internalization and activation of murine bone marrow-derived dendritic cells (BMDCs) *in vitro*.

4.1 Surface modification of PLGA nanoparticles with EP67 improves internalization by BMDCs.

Presentation of exogenous antigen to T cells requires the uptake of antigen by antigen-presenting cells followed by processing and presentation in the context of MHC molecules [145, 146]. T-cell activation and memory development have also been linked to the dose of antigen-experienced by dendritic cells [147, 148]. Therefore, internalization of antigen by dendritic cells is an important parameter that affects the efficacy of vaccines.

 To determine if the surface modification of PLGA nanoparticles with EP67 affects the internalization of the NPs by murine BMDCs, we first encapsulated FITClabeled OVA (FITC-OVA) in PLGA NPs and modified the surface with reactive maleimide groups by incorporating the diblock copolymer PLLA-PEG-MAL into the NPs using IAASF technique (Table 4.1) (Fig 4.1A)[134]. Surface modification with PLLA-PEG-MAL was confirmed by the presence of an ethylene glycol peak (3.8 ppm) in the $1H\text{-}NMR$ NMR (Fig. 4.2). We then attached EP67 to the NPs using a Cys-Gly-Arg-Arg linker (PLGA NP-MAL-CGRR-EP67) (Fig 4.1B), and EP67 attachment to NPs was then confirmed by amino acid analysis (Fig. 4.3). We next incubated murine BMDCs (Day 8) with unmodified [NP(FITC-OVA)], scrambled EP67 (CGRR-M[MeL]RYKPaFDS)-modified [scEP67-NP(FITC-OVA)], and EP67- modified [EP67- NP(FITC-OVA)] nanoparticles for 2 h and compared the internalization by measuring the proportion of BMDCs positive for FITC-OVA using flow cytometry. Internalization of nanoparticles was significantly higher for EP67-modified (52%) when compared to unmodified (40%; p≤0.05) or scEP67-modified (38%; p≤0.01) NPs (Fig. 4.5E). The MFI of FITC in BMDCs treated at 37 \degree C was significantly higher for EP67-modified (4953 AU) when compared to unmodified (2472; p≤0.01) or scEP67-modified (1918 AU; p≤0.01) NPs. To rule out false positives due to surface adsorption of NPs, we also compared NP internalization and MFI of FITC in BMDCs treated with NPs at $4\,^{\circ}$ C (Figs

4.5B, C). In contrast to treatment at 37 $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$, there was no difference between the groups when BMDCs were treated at 4 $^{\circ}$ C. Thus, surface modification with EP67 increases the internalization of nanoparticles by BMDCs.

4.2 Surface modification of nanoparticles with EP67 activates BMDCs and improves antigen presentation to T-cells.

Although the level of antigen uptake is an important criterion, it is not enough to induce T-cell activation. For generating effective immune responses, it is necessary that the antigen is presented to T-cells by activated antigen-presenting cells that have upregulated expression of co-stimulatory molecules [145, 149]. Antigen presentation in the absence of co-stimulation leads to T-cell anergy and induces antigen tolerance [24, 150], an undesirable outcome for vaccination.

To determine if the surface modification of nanoparticles activates BMDCs, we treated immature murine BMDCs with NP(OVA), scEP67-NP(OVA) and EP67- NP(OVA) for 24 h and compared the surface expression levels of BMDC activation markers CD80, CD86 and MHC II by flow cytometry (Fig. 4.6). The expression of activation markers, as measured by MFI, was significantly higher on BMDCs treated with EP67-NP(OVA) [CD80 – 43306 AU, CD86 – 1221 AU, MHC-II – 26491 AU] when compared to BMDCs treated with $NP(OVA)$ $[CD80 - 19441 \text{ AU } (p \le 0.01)$, CD86 – 792 AU (p≤0.01), MHC II – 15295 AU (p≤0.01)], and scEP67-NP(OVA) [CD80 – 30607 AU (p≤0.001), CD86 – 812 AU (p≤0.01), MHC-II – 20806 AU (p≤0.001)]. Thus, surface modification of nanoparticles with EP67 activates BMDCs better than unmodified and scEP67-modified nanoparticles.

To determine if the activation of BMDCs by surface-modified nanoparticles translates into increased antigen cross-presentation/presentation via the MHC I/II pathways, we co-incubated nanoparticle-treated BMDCs with OVA-responsive T-cell hybridomas CD8OVA1.3 (MHC-I/OVA₂₅₇₋₂₆₄) and DOBW (MHC-II/OVA₃₂₃₋₃₃₉) for 18 h and compared the concentrations of IL-2 in the culture supernatant by ELISA. IL-2 is secreted by T-cells upon T-cell receptor ligation by cognate peptide-MHC complexes [151] on activated APCs, and therefore can be used as a surrogate for antigen presentation [152]. The concentration of IL-2 was significantly higher in the supernatants of DOBW cells (Fig. 4.7A) when co-incubated with EP67-NP(OVA) treated BMDCs [EP67-NP(OVA) -770.47 pg/mL; NP(OVA) -510.46 pg/mL (p≤0.001); scEP67-NP(OVA) -460.41 pg/mL (p≤0.001)]. Similarly, the IL-2 concentrations in CD8OVA1.3 cell supernatant (Fig. 4.7B) co-incubated with EP67-NP(OVA)-treated BMDCs [96.34 pg/mL] was significantly higher when compared to CD8OVA1.3 cells co-incubated with NP(OVA)- $[59.90 \text{ pg/mL} (p \le 0.001)]$ and scEP67-NP(OVA)- $[51.22$ pg/mL (p≤0.001)] treated BMDCs. Thus, BMDCs treated with nanoparticles surfacemodified with EP67 were significantly better at antigen crosspresentation/presentation when compared to unmodified or scEP67-modified nanoparticles.

4.3 Immunization with EP67 surface-modified OVA-encapsulated PLGA NPs increases protection against primary mucosal infection with LM-OVA.

In our earlier studies, we found that EP67, when conjugated to a CD8+ T-cell epitope, can be used as a mucosal adjuvant to improve protection against respiratory
infection with murine cytomegalovirus (MCMV) [74] and also that encapsulation of EP67-conjugated CTL epitope in biodegradable nano- and micro- particles improves protection against MCMV when compared to free vaccine [153]. However, the effect of conjugating EP67 onto the surface of biodegradable NPs on the immune responses generated against encapsulated antigen is not known.

Recombinant *Listeria monocytogenes* expressing ovalbumin (LM-OVA) has been routinely utilized as a model pathogen to study the efficacy of novel immunization strategies using ovalbumin as a model antigen [154, 155]. Although the natural route of infection for *Listeria monocytogenes* is the oral route, mice were demonstrated to be susceptible to intranasal challenge with peak bacterial titers in lungs, liver and spleen on day 3[156]. Thus, the respiratory challenge with LM-OVA can be used as a model for testing efficacy of mucosal immune responses generated by immunization.

To determine if surface modification of PLGA NPs with EP67 affects the efficacy of immune response against the encapsulated antigen, we administered 6 week old female C57Bl/6 mice with vehicle alone (PBS), encapsulated OVA NPs (NP(OVA)) and encapsulated OVA NPs surface-modified with EP67 (EP67-NP(OVA)) in a volume (50 µL) which is expected to reach the total respiratory tract, including the lungs [141] (Fig. 5A). We then infected the mice 14 days' post-immunization with sublethal dose[156] (2 × 10⁷ CFU/ 50µL) of *Listeria monocytogenes* expressing OVA (LM-OVA) and compared peak bacterial titers in lungs, liver and spleen three days postinfection[156] (Fig. 4.8A).

LM-OVA titers in mice immunized with EP67-NP(OVA) $[2.751 \times 10^8 \text{ CFU/g}]$ in lungs (Fig. 4.8B) were significantly lower when compared to $NP(OVA)$ - [1.275 \times 10⁹ CFU/g; P = 0.0122] and PBS- $[1.528 \times 10^9$ CFU/g; P = 0.0026] treated mice. In liver (Fig. 4.8C) the titers in EP67-NP(OVA)- $[2.724 \times 10^6$ CFU/g] treated mice were significantly lower than in NP(OVA)- $[1.430 \times 10^8$ CFU/g; P = 0.0099] treated mice and no statistical difference when compared to PBS- $[1.015 \times 10^8 \text{ CFU/q}]$; P = 0.0573] treated mice. Similarly, in spleen (Fig. 4.8D), titers in EP67-NP(OVA) [2.529×10⁷ CFU/g] treated mice were significantly lower than in NP(OVA) [1.738 \times 10⁸ CFU/g; P = 0.0028] treated mice; whereas there was no statistical difference when compared to PBS- $[9.242 \times 10^7$ CFU/g; P = 0.1356] treated mice. Thus, immunization with EP67 surface-modified nanoparticles increased the efficacy of immune response against the encapsulated antigen.

4.4 Respiratory immunization with EP67 surface-modified OVA encapsulated PLGA NPs increases the magnitude of mucosal and systemic antigen-specific T-cells.

Effective protection against viral and intracellular bacterial infection requires potent pathogen-specific CTL responses[14, 157]. Resistance to intravenous challenge with LM-OVA, an intracellular pathogen, has been demonstrated to be primarily due to OVA-specific CD8+ T-cells but not antigen-specific humoral responses. Given that respiratory immunization with EP67-NP(OVA) increased protection against respiratory challenge with LM-OVA, it is expected that respiratory

immunization with EP67-NP(OVA) would increase the proportions of OVA-specific systemic and mucosal T-cells.

To determine if respiratory immunization with EP67-NP(OVA) increases the magnitude of OVA-specific T cell responses, we again administered mice with vehicle alone (PBS), encapsulated OVA NPs (NP(OVA)) and encapsulated OVA NPs surfacemodified with EP67 (EP67-NP(OVA)) under the same dosage regimen (Fig. 5A). We then compared the proportions of OVA-specific activated T cells, on the day of infection (14 days post immunization), in lungs and spleen by staining with OVAspecific tetramers for CD4 (I-A^b / HAAHAEINEA) and CD8 (H-2K^b / SIINFEKL) and analyzing by flow cytometry. Immunization with EP67-NP(OVA) generated a higher proportion of CD4+CD44^{hi}tet+ cells in lungs (Fig. 4.9A) and spleen (Fig. 4.9B) by about 0.5% and 2% over NP(OVA)- or PBS-treated animals. Furthermore, EP67- NP(OVA) generated a higher proportion of CD8+CD44hitet+ cells than NP(OVA) or PBS in lungs (Fig. 4.9C) by 0.2% and in spleen (Fig. 4.9D) by 0.8%. Thus, respiratory immunization with EP67-NP(OVA) increases the proportion of systemic and mucosal antigen-specific T-cells.

4.5 Respiratory immunization with EP67 surface-modified OVA-encapsulated NPs affects CD127/KLRG1 memory subsets of systemic antigen-specific CD8+ and CD4+ T-cells.

 Effective immunization against a pathogen requires the generation of a stable pool of long-lived antigen-specific memory T-cells which can respond quickly to protect against infection [158]. It is possible to identify memory precursor cells with the potential to become long-lived memory cells during the peak of T-cell response generated post-immunization by analyzing the cell surface expression of IL-7Rα (CD127) and KLRG1 [159, 160]. Based on CD127 and KLRG1 expression, T-cells can be classified into early effector cells (EEC - CD127-KLRG1-), memory precursor effector cells (MPEC - CD127⁺KLRG1⁻), short-lived effector cells (SLEC - CD127⁻ KLRG1⁺) and, double positive effector cells (DPEC - CD127⁺KLRG1⁺)[160, 161]. Although studies have shown that all the subsets have similar potential to clear pathogens, only EECs and MPECs have the potential to become long-lived memory cells[161].

 To determine if respiratory immunization with EP67-NP(OVA) affects CD127/KLRG1 memory subsets of systemic antigen-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells, we compared the proportions of CD127/KLRG1 subsets within the CD4+CD44+tet+ and CD8+CD44+tet+ cells generated in the spleens using flow cytometry. EP67- NP(OVA) generated higher proportions of SLEC (CD4 - ~16% / CD8 - ~13%), DPEC (CD4 - \sim 3% / CD8 - \sim 0.8%) and MPEC (CD4 - 5% / CD8 - \sim 38%) compared to NP(OVA) (Fig. 4.10). On the other hand, the proportion of EEC was found to be higher in NP(OVA) by ~23% in CD4+ cells and ~42% in CD8+ cells. Thus, surface modification of PLGA NPs with EP67 affects the CD127/KLRG1 memory subsets of systemic CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells.

4.6 Respiratory immunization with EP67 surface-modified OVA-encapsulated NPs affects CD127/KLRG1/CD62L memory subsets of systemic antigen-specific CD8+ and CD4+ T-cells.

 Activated antigen-specific CD44+ T-cells can also be classified into functionally heterogeneous memory subsets based on the cell surface expression of CD127, KLRG1, and lymph node homing receptor CD62L [162]. SLECs (SLEC– CD127- KLRG1-CD62L-) have high cytolytic potential *in vitro*, but lack long-term survival. Effector memory precursor cells (T_{EM} MPEC- CD127⁺KLRG1⁻CD62L⁻) demonstrate both cytolytic activity and long-term survival, whereas central memory precursor cells (T_{CM} MPEC- CD127⁺KLRG1⁻CD62L⁺) have low cytolytic activity but have long-term survival and high homeostatic proliferation.

 To determine if respiratory immunization with EP67-NP(OVA) affects CD127/KLRG1/CD62L memory subsets of systemic antigen-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells, we compared the proportions of CD127/KLRG1/CD62L subsets within the CD4+CD44+tet+ and CD8+CD44+tet+ cells generated in the spleens using flow cytometry. EP67-NP(OVA) generated higher proportions of T_{EM} MPEC (CD4 - ~9% / CD8 - \sim 28%), and T_{CM} MPEC (CD4 – 0.3% / CD8 - \sim 2%) compared to NP(OVA) (Fig. 4.11). In contrast, the proportion of SLEC was found to be higher in NP(OVA) by ~8% in CD4+ cells and ~2% in CD8+ cells. Thus, surface modification of PLGA NPs with EP67 affects the CD127/CD62L memory subsets of systemic CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells.

4.7 Respiratory immunization with EP67 surface-modified OVA encapsulated NPs affects cytokine secretion profile of epitope responsive systemic T-cells.

 To determine the effect of respiratory immunization with EP67-NP(OVA) on the cytokine secretion profile of epitope responsive T-cells, we *ex vivo* restimulated the splenocytes harvested 14 days post-immunization with the immunodominant OVA CD4 epitope (ISQAVHAAHAEINEAGR) for 48 h and analyzed the supernatants for Th1 (IL-2, IL-6) (Fig. 4.12A), Th17 (IL-17A, IL-22) (Fig. 4.12B) and Th2 (IL4, IL-5) (Fig. 4.12C) cytokines. Splenocytes isolated from EP67-NP(OVA) treated mice produced significantly higher quantities of Th1 (~100%) and Th17 (~300%) cytokines when compared to both PBS- and NP(OVA)-treated mice, whereas no difference was found in the production of Th2 type cytokines. Thus, respiratory immunization with EP67- NP(OVA) affects the cytokine secretion profile of CD4 epitope responsive T-cells and shifts it towards Th1 and Th17 type responses.

Figure 4.12 Synthetic strategy for modifying the surface of PLGA 50:50 nanoparticles with EP67

Endotoxin-free ovalbumin (OVA) was encapsulated in PLGA 50:50 nanoparticles by the W/O/W emulsification / solvent extraction method. (A) Maleimide-activated 2 kDa PEG linkers were added to the nanosphere surfaces during OVA encapsulation as part of PLLA(10 kDa)-b-PEG(2 kDa)-maleimide diblock copolymers that were physically partitioned into nascent PLGA 50:50 nanospheres before complete solvent extraction and subsequent lyophilization. The extent that the surfaces of lyophilized particles were functionalized with maleimide was determined by 1H-NMR (Fig.4.2). (B) EP67 was activated with sulfhydryl groups by the addition of N-terminal Cys through a Gly-Arg-Arg-linker and reacted with lyophilized maleimide-activated nanospheres resuspended in PBS [pH 7.4]. The extent that the surfaces of lyophilized particles were modified with EP67 was determined by amino-acid analysis (Fig.4.3).

Figure 4.2 Surface functionalization of PLGA NPs with PLLA-PEG-MAL was confirmed by the presence of PEG peak in the 1H-NMR spectra of the NPs

Figure 4.3 Coating of maleimide functionalized NPs with EP67 was confirmed by amino acid analysis of blank PLGA-EP67 NPs.

NP (FITC-OVA)

scEP67-NP(FITC-OVA)

EP67-NP(FITC-OVA)

Figure 4.4 Internalization of FITC-OVA loaded NPs by BMDCs.

NP(FITC-OVA), scEP67-NP(FITC-OVA) and EP67-NP(FITC-OVA) were incubated with BMDCs on a cover slip placed inside a 24 well plate for 1h, washed 3X with PBS, nuclei stained with DAPI and analyzed by confocal microscopy.

NP(FITC-OVA) □ scEP67-NP(FITC-OVA) □ EP67-NP(FITC-OVA)

Figure 4.13. Modifying the surface of PLGA 50:50 nanoparticles with EP67 increases internalization by immature murine bone marrow-derived DCs.

Immature BMDCs (male C57BL/6) were incubated at (A, B, C) 4°C or (D, E, F) 37°C for 2 h with ~1.5 µg of endotoxin-free fluorescein-modified OVA (FITC-OVA) loaded in ~20 μg of unmodified PLGA 50:50 nanoparticles [NP(FITC-OVA), dark grey bars], PLGA 50:50 nanoparticles surface-modified with inactive scEP67 [scEP67-NP(FITC-OVA), grey bars], or PLGA 50:50 nanoparticles surface-modified with EP67 [white

bars, EP67-NP(FITC-OVA)] as described in Fig 4.1. Cells were rinsed with PBS, stained with viability dye and PE-Cy5 anti-CD11c antibodies, then analyzed by flow cytometry. Representative FACS data of median fluorescein staining EVENTS from viable CD11c⁺ BMDCs incubated with the indicated nanoparticles for 2 h at (A) 4°C or (D) 37°C. The average percent of total viable CD11c⁺ BMDCs at (B) 4°C or (E) 37°C that were FITC-OVA⁺ ±SD (n=2 wells) and the average median fluorescence intensities (MFI) of fluorescein staining of live CD11c⁺FITC-OVA⁺ BMDCs at (C) 4°C or (F) 37°C ±SD (n=2) between treatment groups were compared by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-test where **p* ≤0.05 and **p ≤0.01. Data are representative of at least three independent experiments.

Figure 4.6 Modifying the surface of PLGA 50:50 nanoparticles with EP67 increases the expression of activation markers on the surface of immature murine BMDCs

Immature BMDCs (male C57BL/6) were incubated at 37°C for 24 h with media alone [black bars, Media] or media containing ~7.9 µg endotoxin-free OVA loaded in ~100 μg of uncoated PLGA 50:50 nanoparticles [dark grey bars, NP(OVA)], PLGA 50:50 nanoparticles surface-modified with inactive scEP67 [grey bars, scEP67-NP(OVA)], or PLGA 50:50 nanoparticle surface-modified with EP67 [white bars, EP67-NP(OVA)]. BMDCs were then stained with viability dye, anti-CD11c, anti-CD80, anti-MHC-II and anti-CD86 antibodies and analyzed by flow cytometry. Average median fluorescence intensity \pm SD (n=2) of staining CD11c+ cells with (A) PE-Cy5 anti-CD80, (B) anti-CD86, or (C) anti-MHC II between the indicated treatment groups were compared using one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-test where **p≤0.01, ***p≤0.001, and ****p≤0.0001. Data are representative of at least three independent experiments.

Figure 4.14. Modifying the surface of PLGA 50:50 nanoparticles with EP67 increases murine BMDC activation of model naïve T cells against encapsulated protein in vitro.

Immature BMDCs (C57BL/6) were incubated at 37°C for 2 h with media alone [black bars, Media] or media containing \sim 7.9 µg endotoxin-free OVA-loaded in \sim x mg unmodified nanoparticles (~400 nm diam.) [NP(OVA), dark grey bars], nanoparticles surface-modified with inactive scEP67 [scEP67-NP(OVA), grey bars] or nanoparticles surface-modified with active EP67 [EP67-NP(OVA), white bars] through 2 kDa PEG linkers (~0.3 wt% EP67). BMDCs were then washed and incubated with OVA-specific CD4⁺ (DOBW) or CD8⁺ (CD8 OVA 1.3) T cell hybridomas for 18 h at 37°C. Average concentrations of IL-2 \pm SD (n=3) released into the media by (A) CD4⁺ (DOBW) or (B)

CD8⁺ (CD8 OVA 1.3) T cells were determined by ELISA and compared between treatment groups using one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-test where **p≤0.01, ***p≤0.001, ****p≤0.0001.

Figure 4.8 Modifying the surface of PLGA 50:50 nanoparticles with EP67 increases the efficacy of respiratory immunization

Modifying the surface of PLGA 50:50 nanoparticles with EP67 increases the efficacy of respiratory immunization with encapsulated protein against primary respiratory infection with L. monocytogenes that ectopically expresses OVA. (A) Vehicle alone (PBS), or vehicle containing ~25 µg LPS-free OVA encapsulated in unmodified PLGA 50:50 nanoparticles [NP(OVA)] or PLGA 50:50 nanoparticles surface-modified with EP67 [EP67-NP(OVA)] was administered to naïve female C57BL/6 mice (~6 wk old) on Day 0 and Day 7 by IN administration (50 μL). Fourteen days after the final

immunization (Day 21), L. monocytogenes ectopically expressing OVA (LM-OVA) was administered IN (2 x 107 CFU in 50 µL) and peak titers of LM-OVA (Day 3 postinfection; Day 24) were determined by CFU assay. Average peak LM-OVA colony forming units (CFU) per gram of tissue \pm SD (n = 7 mice) in the (B) lungs, (C) liver, and (D) spleen were compared using one-way ANOVA with uncorrected Fisher's LSD test. Data are representative of two independent experiments.

Figure 4.15 Modifying the surface of PLGA nanoparticles with EP67 increases proportions of antigen-specific mucosal and systemic T cells after respiratory immunization.

Modifying the surface of PLGA 50:50 nanoparticles with EP67 increases proportions

of encapsulated protein-specific mucosal and systemic T cells after respiratory

immunization. Naïve female C57BL/6 mice (~6 wk old) were immunized as described in Fig.4.8. (Days 0 and 7) and sacrificed on the day of challenge (Day 21). Proportions of (A, B) OVA-specific (tet+) CD4⁺CD44^{HI} or (C, D) OVA-specific (tet+) CD8a⁺CD44^{hi} cells in the lungs and spleen were determined by flow cytometry. Average percentages of CD4+CD44^{HI}tet⁺ cells and CD8+CD44^{HI}tet⁺ cells ±SD (n=4 mice) between treatment groups were compared in the respective organs using one-way ANOVA with uncorrected Fisher's LSD test.

Figure 4.10 Modifying the surface of PLGA nanoparticles with EP67 affects CD127/KLRG1 memory subsets of mucosal T-cells.

Modifying the surface of PLGA nanoparticles with EP67 affects CD127/KLRG1 memory subsets of mucosal CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells generated against encapsulated protein by respiratory immunization. Naïve female C57BL/6 mice (~6 wk old) were immunized as in Fig. 5 and sacrificed on the day of challenge (Day 21). Average percent of (A) CD4+CD44HITet+ or (B) CD8a+CD44HITet+ cells in the lungs that were SLEC (CD127-KLRG1+), DPEC (CD127+KLRG1+), MPEC (CD127+KLRG1-), or EEC (CD127-KLRG1-) \pm SD (n=4 mice) were determined by flow cytometry and compared using two-tailed unpaired t-test.

Figure 4. 16 Modifying the surface of PLGA nanoparticles with EP67 affects CD127/KLRG1/CD62L memory subsets of T cells.

Modifying the surface of PLGA nanoparticles with EP67 affects CD127/KLRG1/CD62L memory subsets of systemic CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells generated against encapsulated protein after respiratory immunization. Naïve female C57BL/6 mice (~6 wk old) were immunized as in Fig. 4.8 and sacrificed on the day of challenge (Day 21). Average proportions of (A) $CD4^+CD4^{H}Tet^+$ or (B) $CD8a^+CD4^{H}Tet^+$ cells in the spleen that were SLEC (CD127 KLRG1 CD62L), TEM MPEC (CD127 KLRG1 CD62L⁻), or TCM MPEC (CD127⁺KLRG1⁻CD62L⁺) ± SD (n=4 mice) were determined by flow cytometry and compared using two-tailed unpaired t-test.

Naïve female C57BL/6 mice (~6 wk old) were immunized as in Fig. 4.8. Splenocytes were harvested on the day of infection (Day 21) and incubated with OVA CD4+ epitope *Figure 4.17 Modifying the surface of PLGA nanoparticles with EP67 selectively affects the cytokine secretion pattern of CD4+ epitope-responsive splenocytes.*

for 48h. Average concentrations ±SD (n=4) of (A) Th1, (B) Th17, or (C) Th2 cytokines in the media were determined by multiplex assay and compared using one-way ANOVA with uncorrected Fisher's LSD test. Results are representative of at least two independent experiments.

Formulation	Loading $(\mu g/mg \pm SD)$	Diameter $(nm \pm SD)$	Polydispersity Index $(PDI \pm SD)$	Zeta Potential $(mV \pm SD)$	Peptide Content $(\mu g/mg)$
NP(OVA)	78 ± 3	$332 + 4$	0.20 ± 0.02	-16.8 ± 0.5	
scEP67-NP(OVA)	79 ± 2	432.8 ± 0.8	0.41 ± 0.03	-12.5 ± 0.6	1.75 ± 0.2
EP67-NP (OVA)	$78 + 4$	$382 + 7$	0.171 ± 0.002	-13.3 ± 0.7	0.99 ± 0.2

Table 4.1 Characteristics of OVA-encapsulated PLGA nanoparticles

CHAPTER 5

DISCUSSION

This study provides evidence that surface modification of OVA encapsulated nanoparticles with EP67 increases the efficacy of immune responses against OVA in female C57BL/6 mice after respiratory immunization. We found that respiratory immunization with EP67 surface modified OVA encapsulated PLGA nanoparticles (i) increased protection against respiratory infection with OVA-expressing *Listeria monocytogenes* by significantly reducing bacterial burden at mucosal (lungs) and systemic (liver and spleen) sites (Fig 4.8), (ii) increased magnitudes of OVA-specific CD4+/CD8+ T-cells in lungs and spleen (Fig 4.9), (iii) increased proportions of shortlived effector cells (SLECs), double positive effector cells (DPECs), memory precursor effector cells (MPECs) and decreased early effector cells (EECs) in lungs (Fig 4.10), (iv) increased effector memory MPECs and central memory MPECs without affecting SLECs in spleen (Fig 4.11) and (v) affected the cytokine secretion profile of splenocytes responsive to MHC II epitope of OVA (Fig 4.12).

Our study also provides evidence that surface modification of OVAencapsulated NPs with EP67 (i) increases internalization of nanoparticles by immature BMDCs when compared to uncoated or inactive scEP67 coated nanoparticles (Fig. 4.5), (ii) increases activation of BMDCs, as measured by upregulation of activation markers MHC-II, CD80 and CD86, when compared to uncoated or inactive scEP67

coated nanoparticles (Fig. 4.6) and, (iii) increases antigen-presenting potential of BMDCs, determined by activation of OVA-specific T-cell hybridomas CD8OVA1.3 (CD8+) and DOBW (CD4+) by BMDCs treated with to uncoated, inactive scEP67 or EP67 coated nanoparticles (Fig. 4.7).

Dendritic cells are the most potent antigen-presenting cells that play a major role in generating adaptive immune responses against invading pathogens. Several studies have demonstrated that directing antigen to DCs by targeting pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), using antibodies or PRR ligands, can enhance antigen presentation and thus improve the efficacy of immunization. In our earlier studies, we have shown that EP54-, a sister analog of EP67, containing vaccine constructs are rapidly internalized via the C5aR present on human monocyte-derived DCs and presented in the context of HLA I/II. Given that EP67 has a 1000-fold higher affinity to C5aR than EP54, it is reasonable to expect that DCs will similarly internalize and present EP67-containing vaccines. EP67 is also expected to improve antigen processing and presentation directly by activating DCs and, indirectly by inducing DCs to produce various cytokines and chemokines that favor a pro-inflammatory immune response, as evidenced by the upregulation of maturation markers CD80, CD40, and CD54, inducing secretion of chemokines (CCL2, CCL3, CCL4, CXCL8, and CXCL10) and cytokines (IL-6, IL-1β, TNF-α, and IL-10) by human monocyte-derived DCs (unpublished data). These studies together can be used to explain the results of our current study. EP67 on the surface of NPs upon interaction with C5aR on antigen presenting cells (i) induces receptor-mediated internalization of NPs, (ii) followed by activation of APCs resulting in increased surface expression of antigen-presenting molecules and, consequently, (iii) better activation of CD4+ and CD8+ T-cells resulting in enhanced immune responses against the encapsulated antigen.

The effective immune response against an invading pathogen involves the generation of a large pool of pathogen-specific effector T -cells (T_{EFF}), some of which, after the infection is resolved, transition into effector memory (T_{EM}) and central memory cells (T_{CM}) with high proliferative and survival potential. Although, the rate of transition from T_{EFF} to T_{EM} and T_{CM} depends on the nature of immunization and presence of antigen, the long-term fate of T_{EFF} cells is decided during the initial phase of T-cell activation. The long-term fate of T-cells depends on the duration of initial DC-T-cell interactions which is affected by the dose of antigen-experienced by DCs. Tcells that interact with DCs exposed to high antigen dose are expected to differentiate into memory T-cells.

Keeping the above information in mind, the increased memory precursor effector cells observed after respiratory immunization with EP67 surface-modified NPs when compared to unmodified NPs may be due to faster translocation of EP67 surface modified NPs across the respiratory epithelium, through M-cells which may express C5aR alike intestinal M-cells, into mucosal-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) which is rich in the lymphoid cells required to generate an immune response. The higher proportions of MPECs can also be explained by increased uptake of EP67 surfacemodified NPs by mucosal APCs through C5aR, and this is supported in part, by the increased internalization of EP67 surface-modified NPs by BMDCs *in vitro* observed in this study. However, the effect of particle size on internalization by APCs and trafficking across epithelium cannot be overlooked. As such, both unmodified and EP67 surface modified NPs are in the optimal size range required for APC phagocytosis and translocation across the epithelium (although not receptor mediated in the case of unmodified NP), therefore it is possible that EP67 surface modification is only accelerating the transition of effector cells into memory precursors, as supported by the higher proportion of early effector cells in the unmodified NP-treated animals (Fig. 4.9).

CHAPTER 6

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

6.1 Summary and Conclusions

Vaccination is one of the most effective ways to prevent infectious diseases, however, there are several diseases against which conventional vaccination strategies have failed to generate effective immune responses or have a low safety profile. Furthermore, conventional vaccines consisting of live attenuated or inactivated pathogens are difficult to develop and have the potential to revert to pathogenicity. Subunit vaccines composed of antigenic proteins or peptides can potentially overcome the limitations of conventional vaccines, but have limited efficacy because of low immunogenicity, inability to generate T-cell immunity, rapid clearance from administration site and insufficient delivery to antigen presenting cells. Thus, there is an urgent need to develop novel strategies that can overcome the disadvantages of subunit vaccines.

In this study, we report the development of C5aR-targeted biodegradable PLGA nanoparticles that improve the efficacy of respiratory immunization by enhancing the immune responses generated against the encapsulated model antigen ovalbumin. We have shown that surface modification of PLGA nanoparticles with C5aR ligand EP67 will increase the internalization of nanoparticles by BMDCs and simultaneously activate BMDCs as shown by the upregulation of MHC II and costimulatory molecules. The activated BMDCs also appear to have higher antigenpresenting potential as shown by the increased secretion of IL-2 by OVA-specific Tcell hybridomas DOBW and CD8 OVA1.3.

Furthermore, we have demonstrated respiratory immunization with EP67 surface-modified OVA-encapsulated PLGA nanoparticles (i) increased protection against respiratory infection with LM-OVA by significantly reducing bacterial numbers (ii) increased magnitudes of OVA-specific CD4+/CD8+ T-cells in lungs and spleen, (iii) increased proportions of short-lived effector cells (SLECs), double positive effector cells (DPECs), memory precursor effector cells (MPECs) in lungs, (iv) increased effector memory MPECs and central memory MPECs without affecting SLECs in spleen and (v) affected the cytokine secretion profile of splenocytes responsive to MHC-II epitope of OVA.

In summary, our findings suggest that surface modification of biodegradable nanoparticles increases the efficacy of respiratory immunization against the encapsulated antigen by increasing magnitude and proportions of memory precursor effector cells, which have the highest potential to become long-lived memory cells, and provide long-term immunity. Thus, surface modification of biodegradable nanoparticles encapsulating the desired antigen with EP67 may be an effective approach to increase the efficacy of mucosal vaccines,

6.2 Future Directions

Although the work presented here demonstrates the proof of concept that surface modification of PLGA nanoparticles can increase the efficacy of respiratory immunization against the encapsulated antigen, the formulation by itself is not optimized. It is important to understand the effect of different formulation parameters like the size of the particle, release rate of antigen, the length of PEG cross-linker and the extend of surface modification with EP67 will have on the immune responses. Therefore, the next step would be to modify the above-mentioned parameters and optimize the vaccine formulation. After an optimized formulation is achieved, the next goal is to test the efficacy of the formulation in protecting mice from respiratory infection with murine cytomegalovirus, using protective antigens from MCMV as the encapsulated antigen, when compared to immunization with coadministration of inactivated virus with EP67.

7. REFERENCES

- 1. Steinman RM (1991) The dendritic cell system and its role in immunogenicity. *Annu Rev Immunol* 9:271-296.
- 2. Tel J*, et al.* (2013) Human plasmacytoid dendritic cells efficiently crosspresent exogenous Ags to CD8+ T cells despite lower Ag uptake than myeloid dendritic cell subsets. *Blood* 121(3):459-467.
- 3. Henrickson SE*, et al.* (2013) Antigen availability determines CD8(+) T celldendritic cell interaction kinetics and memory fate decisions. *Immunity* 39(3):496-507.
- 4. Henrickson SE*, et al.* (2008) T cell sensing of antigen dose governs interactive behavior with dendritic cells and sets a threshold for T cell activation. *Nat Immunol* 9(3):282-291.
- 5. Toti US, Guru BR, Grill AE, & Panyam J (2010) Interfacial activity assisted surface functionalization: a novel approach to incorporate maleimide functional groups and cRGD peptide on polymeric nanoparticles for targeted drug delivery. *Mol Pharm* 7(4):1108-1117.
- 6. Shortman K, Lahoud MH, & Caminschi I (2009) Improving vaccines by targeting antigens to dendritic cells. *Exp Mol Med* 41(2):61-66.
- 7. Hawiger D*, et al.* (2001) Dendritic cells induce peripheral T cell unresponsiveness under steady state conditions in vivo. *J Exp Med* 194(6):769-779.
- 8. Trumpfheller C*, et al.* (2012) Dendritic cell-targeted protein vaccines: a novel approach to induce T-cell immunity. *J Intern Med* 271(2):183-192.
- 9. Gaffen SL & Liu KD (2004) Overview of interleukin-2 function, production and clinical applications. *Cytokine* 28(3):109-123.
- 10. Karttunen J, Sanderson S, & Shastri N (1992) Detection of rare antigenpresenting cells by the lacZ T-cell activation assay suggests an expression cloning strategy for T-cell antigens. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 89(13):6020- 6024.
- 11. Karuturi BV, Tallapaka SB, Phillips JA, Sanderson SD, & Vetro JA (2015) Preliminary evidence that the novel host-derived immunostimulant EP67 can act as a mucosal adjuvant. *Clin Immunol* 161(2):251-259.
- 12. Karuturi BV*, et al.* (2017) Encapsulation of an EP67-conjugated CTL peptide vaccine in nanoscale biodegradable particles increases the efficacy of respiratory immunization and affects the magnitude and memory subsets of vaccine-generated mucosal and systemic CD8+ T cells in a diameterdependent manner. *Mol Pharm*.
- 13. Demento SL*, et al.* (2012) Role of sustained antigen release from nanoparticle vaccines in shaping the T cell memory phenotype. *Biomaterials* 33(19):4957-4964.
- 14. Lauterbach H*, et al.* (2004) Protection from bacterial infection by a single vaccination with replication-deficient mutant herpes simplex virus type 1. *J Virol* 78(8):4020-4028.
- 15. Mizuki M, Nakane A, Sekikawa K, Tagawa YI, & Iwakura Y (2002) Comparison of host resistance to primary and secondary Listeria monocytogenes infections in mice by intranasal and intravenous routes. *Infect Immun* 70(9):4805-4811.
- 16. de Haan A, Renegar KB, Small PA, Jr., & Wilschut J (1995) Induction of a secretory IgA response in the murine female urogenital tract by immunization of the lungs with liposome-supplemented viral subunit antigen. *Vaccine* 13(7):613-616.
- 17. Barry M & Bleackley RC (2002) Cytotoxic T lymphocytes: all roads lead to death. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2(6):401-409.
- 18. Murphy K & Weaver C (2016) *Janeway's immunobiology* (Garland Science).
- 19. Seder RA, Darrah PA, & Roederer M (2008) T-cell quality in memory and protection: implications for vaccine design. *Nat Rev Immunol* 8(4):247-258.
- 20. Kaech SM*, et al.* (2003) Selective expression of the interleukin 7 receptor identifies effector CD8 T cells that give rise to long-lived memory cells. *Nat Immunol* 4(12):1191-1198.
- 21. Ahlers JD & Belyakov IM (2010) Memories that last forever: strategies for optimizing vaccine T-cell memory. *Blood* 115(9):1678-1689.
- 22. Obar JJ & Lefrancois L (2010) Memory CD8+ T cell differentiation. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1183:251-266.
- 23. Obar JJ & Lefrancois L (2010) Early events governing memory CD8+ T-cell differentiation. *Int Immunol* 22(8):619-625.

[1] CDC, Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 2014, pp. 702-715.

[2] Appendix E- Impact of Vaccines in the 20th and 21st Centuries, in: J.K. Hambrosky, A.; Wolfe, S. (Ed.), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Epidemiology and Prevention of Vaccine Preventable Diseases

Washington D.C. Public Health Foundation2015.

[3] F. Zhou, A. Shefer, J. Wenger, M. Messonnier, L.Y. Wang, A. Lopez, M. Moore, T.V. Murphy, M. Cortese, L. Rodewald, Economic evaluation of the routine childhood immunization program in the United States, 2009, Pediatrics 133(4) (2014) 577-85.

[4] M. Imohl, R.R. Reinert, C. Ocklenburg, M. van der Linden, Association of serotypes of Streptococcus pneumoniae with age in invasive pneumococcal disease, J Clin Microbiol 48(4) (2010) 1291-6.

[5] W.P. Hanage, J.A. Finkelstein, S.S. Huang, S.I. Pelton, A.E. Stevenson, K. Kleinman, V.L. Hinrichsen, C. Fraser, Evidence that pneumococcal serotype replacement in Massachusetts following conjugate vaccination is now complete, Epidemics 2(2) (2010) 80-4.

[6] F. Azmi, A.A. Ahmad Fuaad, M. Skwarczynski, I. Toth, Recent progress in adjuvant discovery for peptide-based subunit vaccines, Hum Vaccin Immunother 10(3) (2014) 778-96.

[7] N. Lycke, Recent progress in mucosal vaccine development: potential and limitations, Nat Rev Immunol 12(8) (2012) 592-605.

[8] T. Ebensen, C.A. Guzman, Immune modulators with defined molecular targets: cornerstone to optimize rational vaccine design, Hum Vaccin 4(1) (2008) 13-22.

[9] A.M. Harandi, D. Medaglini, R.J. Shattock, E. Working Group convened by, Vaccine adjuvants: a priority for vaccine research, Vaccine 28(12) (2010) 2363-6.

[10] B. Guy, The perfect mix: recent progress in adjuvant research, Nat Rev Microbiol 5(7) (2007) 505-17.

[11] S.M. Kaech, E.J. Wherry, R. Ahmed, Effector and memory T-cell differentiation: implications for vaccine development, Nat Rev Immunol 2(4) (2002) 251-62.

[12] C. Watts, S. Powis, Pathways of antigen processing and presentation, Rev Immunogenet 1(1) (1999) 60-74.

[13] P. Cossart, P.J. Sansonetti, Bacterial invasion: the paradigms of enteroinvasive pathogens, Science 304(5668) (2004) 242-8.

[14] K. Murphy, C. Weaver, Janeway's immunobiology, Garland Science2016.

[15] A. Thakur, L.E. Pedersen, G. Jungersen, Immune markers and correlates of protection for vaccine induced immune responses, Vaccine 30(33) (2012) 4907-20.

[16] N. Bhardwaj, J.W. Young, A.J. Nisanian, J. Baggers, R.M. Steinman, Small amounts of superantigen, when presented on dendritic cells, are sufficient to initiate T cell responses, J Exp Med 178(2) (1993) 633-42.

[17] R.M. Steinman, Z.A. Cohn, Identification of a novel cell type in peripheral lymphoid organs of mice. I. Morphology, quantitation, tissue distribution, J Exp Med 137(5) (1973) 1142-62.

[18] B. Pulendran, J.L. Smith, G. Caspary, K. Brasel, D. Pettit, E. Maraskovsky, C.R. Maliszewski, Distinct dendritic cell subsets differentially regulate the class of immune response in vivo, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 96(3) (1999) 1036-41.

[19] R. Maldonado-Lopez, T. De Smedt, B. Pajak, C. Heirman, K. Thielemans, O. Leo, J. Urbain, C.R. Maliszewski, M. Moser, Role of CD8alpha+ and CD8alpha- dendritic cells in the induction of primary immune responses in vivo, J Leukoc Biol 66(2) (1999) 242-6.

[20] K. Palucka, H. Ueno, L. Roberts, J. Fay, J. Banchereau, Dendritic cell subsets as vectors and targets for improved cancer therapy, Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 344 (2011) 173-92.

[21] A. Mildner, S. Jung, Development and function of dendritic cell subsets, Immunity 40(5) (2014) 642-56.

[22] J.H. Niess, H.C. Reinecker, Dendritic cells: the commanders-in-chief of mucosal immune defenses, Curr Opin Gastroenterol 22(4) (2006) 354-60.

[23] R.M. Steinman, D. Hawiger, M.C. Nussenzweig, Tolerogenic dendritic cells, Annu Rev Immunol 21 (2003) 685-711.

[24] D. Hawiger, K. Inaba, Y. Dorsett, M. Guo, K. Mahnke, M. Rivera, J.V. Ravetch, R.M. Steinman, M.C. Nussenzweig, Dendritic cells induce peripheral T cell unresponsiveness under steady state conditions in vivo, J Exp Med 194(6) (2001) 769-79.

[25] B. Piqueras, J. Connolly, H. Freitas, A.K. Palucka, J. Banchereau, Upon viral exposure, myeloid and plasmacytoid dendritic cells produce 3 waves of distinct chemokines to recruit immune effectors, Blood 107(7) (2006) 2613-8.

[26] L. Riol-Blanco, N. Sanchez-Sanchez, A. Torres, A. Tejedor, S. Narumiya, A.L. Corbi, P. Sanchez-Mateos, J.L. Rodriguez-Fernandez, The chemokine receptor CCR7 activates in dendritic cells two signaling modules that independently regulate chemotaxis and migratory speed, J Immunol 174(7) (2005) 4070-80.

[27] R.M. Steinman, M. Pack, K. Inaba, Dendritic cell development and maturation, Adv Exp Med Biol 417 (1997) 1-6.

[28] T. Serwold, F. Gonzalez, J. Kim, R. Jacob, N. Shastri, ERAAP customizes peptides for MHC class I molecules in the endoplasmic reticulum, Nature 419(6906) (2002) 480-3.

[29] M. Houde, S. Bertholet, E. Gagnon, S. Brunet, G. Goyette, A. Laplante, M.F. Princiotta, P. Thibault, D. Sacks, M. Desjardins, Phagosomes are competent organelles for antigen crosspresentation, Nature 425(6956) (2003) 402-6.

[30] P. Guermonprez, L. Saveanu, M. Kleijmeer, J. Davoust, P. Van Endert, S. Amigorena, ERphagosome fusion defines an MHC class I cross-presentation compartment in dendritic cells, Nature 425(6956) (2003) 397-402.

[31] C. Goyvaerts, G. Kurt de, S. Van Lint, C. Heirman, J.A. Van Ginderachter, P. De Baetselier, G. Raes, K. Thielemans, K. Breckpot, Immunogenicity of targeted lentivectors, Oncotarget 5(3) (2014) 704-15.

[32] S. Van Lint, C. Goyvaerts, S. Maenhout, L. Goethals, A. Disy, D. Benteyn, J. Pen, A. Bonehill, C. Heirman, K. Breckpot, K. Thielemans, Preclinical evaluation of TriMix and antigen mRNA-based antitumor therapy, Cancer Res 72(7) (2012) 1661-71.

[33] I.C. Kourtis, S. Hirosue, A. de Titta, S. Kontos, T. Stegmann, J.A. Hubbell, M.A. Swartz, Peripherally administered nanoparticles target monocytic myeloid cells, secondary lymphoid organs and tumors in mice, PLoS One 8(4) (2013) e61646.

[34] L. Jeanbart, M. Ballester, A. de Titta, P. Corthesy, P. Romero, J.A. Hubbell, M.A. Swartz, Enhancing efficacy of anticancer vaccines by targeted delivery to tumor-draining lymph nodes, Cancer Immunol Res 2(5) (2014) 436-47.

[35] S. De Koker, J. Cui, N. Vanparijs, L. Albertazzi, J. Grooten, F. Caruso, B.G. De Geest, Engineering Polymer Hydrogel Nanoparticles for Lymph Node-Targeted Delivery, Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 55(4) (2016) 1334-9.

[36] E.J. Wherry, M. Kurachi, Molecular and cellular insights into T cell exhaustion, Nat Rev Immunol 15(8) (2015) 486-99.

[37] Y. Hailemichael, Z. Dai, N. Jaffarzad, Y. Ye, M.A. Medina, X.F. Huang, S.M. Dorta-Estremera, N.R. Greeley, G. Nitti, W. Peng, C. Liu, Y. Lou, Z. Wang, W. Ma, B. Rabinovich, R.T. Sowell, K.S. Schluns, R.E. Davis, P. Hwu, W.W. Overwijk, Persistent antigen at vaccination sites induces tumor-specific CD8(+) T cell sequestration, dysfunction and deletion, Nat Med 19(4) (2013) 465-72.

[38] V. Apostolopoulos, G.A. Pietersz, S. Gordon, L. Martinez-Pomares, I.F. McKenzie, Aldehydemannan antigen complexes target the MHC class I antigen-presentation pathway, Eur J Immunol 30(6) (2000) 1714-23.

[39] S. Toda, N. Ishii, E. Okada, K.I. Kusakabe, H. Arai, K. Hamajima, I. Gorai, K. Nishioka, K. Okuda, HIV-1-specific cell-mediated immune responses induced by DNA vaccination were enhanced by mannan-coated liposomes and inhibited by anti-interferon-gamma antibody, Immunology 92(1) (1997) 111-7.

[40] V. Ramakrishna, J.F. Treml, L. Vitale, J.E. Connolly, T. O'Neill, P.A. Smith, C.L. Jones, L.Z. He, J. Goldstein, P.K. Wallace, T. Keler, M.J. Endres, Mannose receptor targeting of tumor antigen pmel17 to human dendritic cells directs anti-melanoma T cell responses via multiple HLA molecules, J Immunol 172(5) (2004) 2845-52.

[41] L.Z. He, A. Crocker, J. Lee, J. Mendoza-Ramirez, X.T. Wang, L.A. Vitale, T. O'Neill, C. Petromilli, H.F. Zhang, J. Lopez, D. Rohrer, T. Keler, R. Clynes, Antigenic targeting of the human mannose receptor induces tumor immunity, J Immunol 178(10) (2007) 6259-67.

[42] K. Mahnke, M. Guo, S. Lee, H. Sepulveda, S.L. Swain, M. Nussenzweig, R.M. Steinman, The dendritic cell receptor for endocytosis, DEC-205, can recycle and enhance antigen presentation via major histocompatibility complex class II-positive lysosomal compartments, J Cell Biol 151(3) (2000) 673-84.

[43] L. Bonifaz, D. Bonnyay, K. Mahnke, M. Rivera, M.C. Nussenzweig, R.M. Steinman, Efficient targeting of protein antigen to the dendritic cell receptor DEC-205 in the steady state leads to antigen presentation on major histocompatibility complex class I products and peripheral CD8+ T cell tolerance, J Exp Med 196(12) (2002) 1627-38.

[44] C.L. van Broekhoven, C.R. Parish, C. Demangel, W.J. Britton, J.G. Altin, Targeting dendritic cells with antigen-containing liposomes: a highly effective procedure for induction of antitumor immunity and for tumor immunotherapy, Cancer Res 64(12) (2004) 4357-65.

[45] L.C. Bonifaz, D.P. Bonnyay, A. Charalambous, D.I. Darguste, S. Fujii, H. Soares, M.K. Brimnes, B. Moltedo, T.M. Moran, R.M. Steinman, In vivo targeting of antigens to maturing dendritic cells via the DEC-205 receptor improves T cell vaccination, J Exp Med 199(6) (2004) 815-24.

[46] K.W. Schjetne, K.M. Thompson, T. Aarvak, B. Fleckenstein, L.M. Sollid, B. Bogen, A mouse C kappa-specific T cell clone indicates that DC-SIGN is an efficient target for antibody-mediated delivery of T cell epitopes for MHC class II presentation, Int Immunol 14(12) (2002) 1423-30.

[47] P.J. Tacken, I.J. de Vries, K. Gijzen, B. Joosten, D. Wu, R.P. Rother, S.J. Faas, C.J. Punt, R. Torensma, G.J. Adema, C.G. Figdor, Effective induction of naive and recall T-cell responses by targeting antigen to human dendritic cells via a humanized anti-DC-SIGN antibody, Blood 106(4) (2005) 1278-85.

[48] M.A. Boks, M. Ambrosini, S.C. Bruijns, H. Kalay, L. van Bloois, G. Storm, J.J. Garcia-Vallejo, Y. van Kooyk, MPLA incorporation into DC-targeting glycoliposomes favours anti-tumour T cell responses, J Control Release 216 (2015) 37-46.

[49] W. Zhou, The new face of anaphylatoxins in immune regulation, Immunobiology 217(2) (2012) 225-34.

[50] H.S. Shin, R. Snyderman, E. Friedman, A. Mellors, M.M. Mayer, Chemotactic and anaphylatoxic fragment cleaved from the fifth component of guinea pig complement, Science 162(3851) (1968) 361-3.

[51] C. Lundberg, M. Gardinali, T.E. Hugli, Complement activation and membrane lipids in lung vascular injury, Am Rev Respir Dis 136(2) (1987) 459-62.

[52] T.E. Hugli, H.J. Muller-Eberhard, Anaphylatoxins: C3a and C5a, Adv Immunol 26 (1978) 1-53. [53] T.E. Hugli, The structural basis for anaphylatoxin and chemotactic functions of C3a, C4a, and C5a, Crit Rev Immunol 1(4) (1981) 321-66.

[54] G. Drapeau, S. Brochu, D. Godin, L. Levesque, F. Rioux, F. Marceau, Synthetic C5a receptor agonists. Pharmacology, metabolism and in vivo cardiovascular and hematologic effects, Biochem Pharmacol 45(6) (1993) 1289-99.

[55] I.M. Goldstein, G. Weissmann, Generation of C5-derived lysosomal enzyme-releasing activity (C5a) by lysates of leukocyte lysosomes, J Immunol 113(5) (1974) 1583-8.

[56] A.R. Johnson, T.E. Hugli, H.J. Muller-Eberhard, Release of histamine from rat mast cells by the complement peptides C3a and C5a, Immunology 28(6) (1975) 1067-80.

[57] P.N. Monk, A.M. Scola, P. Madala, D.P. Fairlie, Function, structure and therapeutic potential of complement C5a receptors, Br J Pharmacol 152(4) (2007) 429-48.

[58] M.G. Goodman, D.E. Chenoweth, W.O. Weigle, Potentiation of the primary humoral immune response in vitro by C5a anaphylatoxin, J Immunol 129(1) (1982) 70-5.

[59] E.L. Morgan, M.L. Thoman, W.O. Weigle, T.E. Hugli, Anaphylatoxin-mediated regulation of the immune response. II. C5a-mediated enhancement of human humoral and T cell-mediated immune responses, J Immunol 130(3) (1983) 1257-61.

[60] A. Zaal, S.N. Lissenberg-Thunnissen, G. van Schijndel, D. Wouters, S.M. van Ham, A. ten Brinke, Crosstalk between Toll like receptors and C5a receptor in human monocyte derived DCs suppress inflammatory cytokine production, Immunobiology 218(2) (2013) 175-80.

[61] F. Rudilla, C. Fayolle, N. Casares, M. Durantez, L. Arribillaga, T. Lozano, L. Villanueva, R. Pio, P. Sarobe, C. Leclerc, J. Prieto, J.J. Lasarte, Combination of a TLR4 ligand and anaphylatoxin C5a for the induction of antigen-specific cytotoxic T cell responses, Vaccine 30(18) (2012) 2848-58.

[62] K. Li, H. Fazekasova, N. Wang, Q. Peng, S.H. Sacks, G. Lombardi, W. Zhou, Functional modulation of human monocytes derived DCs by anaphylatoxins C3a and C5a, Immunobiology 217(1) (2012) 65- 73.

[63] A.H. Kim, I.D. Dimitriou, M.C. Holland, D. Mastellos, Y.M. Mueller, J.D. Altman, J.D. Lambris, P.D. Katsikis, Complement C5a receptor is essential for the optimal generation of antiviral CD8+ T cell responses, Journal of immunology 173(4) (2004) 2524-9.

[64] R.A. Moulton, M.A. Mashruwala, A.K. Smith, D.R. Lindsey, R.A. Wetsel, D.L. Haviland, R.L. Hunter, C. Jagannath, Complement C5a anaphylatoxin is an innate determinant of dendritic cellinduced Th1 immunity to Mycobacterium bovis BCG infection in mice, Journal of leukocyte biology 82(4) (2007) 956-67.

[65] E.L. Morgan, S. Sanderson, W. Scholz, D.J. Noonan, W.O. Weigle, T.E. Hugli, Identification and characterization of the effector region within human C5a responsible for stimulation of IL-6 synthesis, Journal of immunology 148(12) (1992) 3937-42.

[66] S.M. Taylor, S.A. Sherman, L. Kirnarsky, S.D. Sanderson, Development of response-selective agonists of human C5a anaphylatoxin: conformational, biological, and therapeutic considerations, Curr Med Chem 8(6) (2001) 675-84.

[67] R.M. Tempero, M.A. Hollingsworth, M.D. Burdick, A.M. Finch, S.M. Taylor, S.M. Vogen, E.L. Morgan, S.D. Sanderson, Molecular adjuvant effects of a conformationally biased agonist of human C5a anaphylatoxin, J Immunol 158(3) (1997) 1377-82.

[68] S.D. Sanderson, L. Kirnarsky, S.A. Sherman, S.M. Vogen, O. Prakash, J.A. Ember, A.M. Finch, S.M. Taylor, Decapeptide agonists of human C5a: the relationship between conformation and neutrophil response, J Med Chem 38(18) (1995) 3669-75.

[69] S.M. Vogen, O. Prakash, L. Kirnarsky, S.D. Sanderson, S.A. Sherman, Determination of structural elements related to the biological activities of a potent decapeptide agonist of human C5a anaphylatoxin, J Pept Res 54(1) (1999) 74-84.

[70] S.M. Vogen, N.J. Paczkowski, L. Kirnarsky, A. Short, J.B. Whitmore, S.A. Sherman, S.M. Taylor, S.D. Sanderson, Differential activities of decapeptide agonists of human C5a: the conformational effects of backbone N-methylation, Int Immunopharmacol 1(12) (2001) 2151-62.

[71] S.D. Sanderson, L. Kirnarsky, S.A. Sherman, J.A. Ember, A.M. Finch, S.M. Taylor, Decapeptide agonists of human C5a: the relationship between conformation and spasmogenic and platelet aggregatory activities, J Med Chem 37(19) (1994) 3171-80.

[72] J.T. Ulrich, W. Cieplak, N.J. Paczkowski, S.M. Taylor, S.D. Sanderson, Induction of an antigenspecific CTL response by a conformationally biased agonist of human C5a anaphylatoxin as a molecular adjuvant, J Immunol 164(10) (2000) 5492-8.

[73] E.L. Morgan, M.L. Thoman, S.D. Sanderson, J.A. Phillips, A novel adjuvant for vaccine development in the aged, Vaccine 28(52) (2010) 8275-9.

[74] B.V. Karuturi, S.B. Tallapaka, J.A. Phillips, S.D. Sanderson, J.A. Vetro, Preliminary evidence that the novel host-derived immunostimulant EP67 can act as a mucosal adjuvant, Clin Immunol 161(2) (2015) 251-9.

[75] E.L. Morgan, B.N. Morgan, E.A. Stein, E.L. Vitrs, M.L. Thoman, S.D. Sanderson, J.A. Phillips, Enhancement of in vivo and in vitro immune functions by a conformationally biased, responseselective agonist of human C5a: implications for a novel adjuvant in vaccine design, Vaccine 28(2) (2009) 463-9.

[76] D. Ricklin, G. Hajishengallis, K. Yang, J.D. Lambris, Complement: a key system for immune surveillance and homeostasis, Nat Immunol 11(9) (2010) 785-97.

[77] C. Goyvaerts, K. Breckpot, Pros and Cons of Antigen-Presenting Cell Targeted Tumor Vaccines, J Immunol Res 2015 (2015) 785634.

[78] V. Apostolopoulos, T. Thalhammer, A.G. Tzakos, L. Stojanovska, Targeting antigens to dendritic cell receptors for vaccine development, J Drug Deliv 2013 (2013) 869718.

[79] E. Macho-Fernandez, L.J. Cruz, R. Ghinnagow, J. Fontaine, E. Bialecki, B. Frisch, F. Trottein, C. Faveeuw, Targeted delivery of alpha-galactosylceramide to CD8alpha+ dendritic cells optimizes type I NKT cell-based antitumor responses, J Immunol 193(2) (2014) 961-9.

[80] B.C. Wengerter, J.A. Katakowski, J.M. Rosenberg, C.G. Park, S.C. Almo, D. Palliser, M. Levy, Aptamer-targeted antigen delivery, Mol Ther 22(7) (2014) 1375-87.

[81] B. Wang, Targeting dendritic cells in situ for breast cancer immunotherapy, Oncoimmunology 1(8) (2012) 1398-1400.

[82] B. Wang, N. Zaidi, L.Z. He, L. Zhang, J.M. Kuroiwa, T. Keler, R.M. Steinman, Targeting of the nonmutated tumor antigen HER2/neu to mature dendritic cells induces an integrated immune response that protects against breast cancer in mice, Breast Cancer Res 14(2) (2012) R39.

[83] B. Wang, J.M. Kuroiwa, L.Z. He, A. Charalambous, T. Keler, R.M. Steinman, The human cancer antigen mesothelin is more efficiently presented to the mouse immune system when targeted to the DEC-205/CD205 receptor on dendritic cells, Ann N Y Acad Sci 1174 (2009) 6-17.

[84] M. Tenbusch, G. Nchinda, M. Storcksdieck genannt Bonsmann, V. Temchura, K. Uberla, Targeting the antigen encoded by adenoviral vectors to the DEC205 receptor modulates the cellular and humoral immune response, Int Immunol 25(4) (2013) 247-58.

[85] M. Kreutz, B. Giquel, Q. Hu, R. Abuknesha, S. Uematsu, S. Akira, F.O. Nestle, S.S. Diebold, Antibody-antigen-adjuvant conjugates enable co-delivery of antigen and adjuvant to dendritic cells in cis but only have partial targeting specificity, PLoS One 7(7) (2012) e40208.

[86] R. Sartorius, C. Bettua, L. D'Apice, A. Caivano, M. Trovato, D. Russo, I. Zanoni, F. Granucci, D. Mascolo, P. Barba, G. Del Pozzo, P. De Berardinis, Vaccination with filamentous bacteriophages targeting DEC-205 induces DC maturation and potent anti-tumor T-cell responses in the absence of adjuvants, Eur J Immunol 41(9) (2011) 2573-84.

[87] K. Mahnke, Y. Qian, S. Fondel, J. Brueck, C. Becker, A.H. Enk, Targeting of antigens to activated dendritic cells in vivo cures metastatic melanoma in mice, Cancer Res 65(15) (2005) 7007-12.

[88] J. Cao, Y. Jin, W. Li, B. Zhang, Y. He, H. Liu, N. Xia, H. Wei, J. Yan, DNA vaccines targeting the encoded antigens to dendritic cells induce potent antitumor immunity in mice, BMC Immunol 14 (2013) 39.

[89] M.V. Dhodapkar, M. Sznol, B. Zhao, D. Wang, R.D. Carvajal, M.L. Keohan, E. Chuang, R.E. Sanborn, J. Lutzky, J. Powderly, H. Kluger, S. Tejwani, J. Green, V. Ramakrishna, A. Crocker, L. Vitale, M. Yellin, T. Davis, T. Keler, Induction of antigen-specific immunity with a vaccine targeting NY-ESO-1 to the dendritic cell receptor DEC-205, Sci Transl Med 6(232) (2014) 232ra51.

[90] J.M. Silva, E. Zupancic, G. Vandermeulen, V.G. Oliveira, A. Salgado, M. Videira, M. Gaspar, L. Graca, V. Preat, H.F. Florindo, In vivo delivery of peptides and Toll-like receptor ligands by mannosefunctionalized polymeric nanoparticles induces prophylactic and therapeutic anti-tumor immune responses in a melanoma model, J Control Release 198 (2015) 91-103.

[91] V. Apostolopoulos, G.A. Pietersz, I.F. McKenzie, Cell-mediated immune responses to MUC1 fusion protein coupled to mannan, Vaccine 14(9) (1996) 930-8.

[92] Z. Cui, S.J. Han, L. Huang, Coating of mannan on LPD particles containing HPV E7 peptide significantly enhances immunity against HPV-positive tumor, Pharm Res 21(6) (2004) 1018-25. [93] J.S. Thomann, B. Heurtault, S. Weidner, M. Braye, J. Beyrath, S. Fournel, F. Schuber, B. Frisch, Antitumor activity of liposomal ErbB2/HER2 epitope peptide-based vaccine constructs incorporating TLR agonists and mannose receptor targeting, Biomaterials 32(20) (2011) 4574-83.

[94] F. Perche, T. Benvegnu, M. Berchel, L. Lebegue, C. Pichon, P.A. Jaffres, P. Midoux, Enhancement of dendritic cells transfection in vivo and of vaccination against B16F10 melanoma with mannosylated histidylated lipopolyplexes loaded with tumor antigen messenger RNA, Nanomedicine 7(4) (2011) 445-53.

[95] M. Mockey, E. Bourseau, V. Chandrashekhar, A. Chaudhuri, S. Lafosse, E. Le Cam, V.F. Quesniaux, B. Ryffel, C. Pichon, P. Midoux, mRNA-based cancer vaccine: prevention of B16 melanoma progression and metastasis by systemic injection of MART1 mRNA histidylated lipopolyplexes, Cancer Gene Ther 14(9) (2007) 802-14.

[96] H. Shiku, L. Wang, Y. Ikuta, T. Okugawa, M. Schmitt, X. Gu, K. Akiyoshi, J. Sunamoto, H. Nakamura, Development of a cancer vaccine: peptides, proteins, and DNA, Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 46 Suppl (2000) S77-82.

[97] P.M. Moyle, C. Olive, M.F. Ho, M. Pandey, J. Dyer, A. Suhrbier, Y. Fujita, I. Toth, Toward the development of prophylactic and therapeutic human papillomavirus type-16 lipopeptide vaccines, J Med Chem 50(19) (2007) 4721-7.

[98] V. Karanikas, L.A. Hwang, J. Pearson, C.S. Ong, V. Apostolopoulos, H. Vaughan, P.X. Xing, G. Jamieson, G. Pietersz, B. Tait, R. Broadbent, G. Thynne, I.F. McKenzie, Antibody and T cell responses of patients with adenocarcinoma immunized with mannan-MUC1 fusion protein, J Clin Invest 100(11) (1997) 2783-92.

[99] M.A. Morse, R. Chapman, J. Powderly, K. Blackwell, T. Keler, J. Green, R. Riggs, L.Z. He, V. Ramakrishna, L. Vitale, B. Zhao, S.A. Butler, A. Hobeika, T. Osada, T. Davis, T. Clay, H.K. Lyerly, Phase I study utilizing a novel antigen-presenting cell-targeted vaccine with Toll-like receptor stimulation to induce immunity to self-antigens in cancer patients, Clin Cancer Res 17(14) (2011) 4844-53. [100] B. Hu, H. Yang, B. Dai, A. Tai, P. Wang, Nonintegrating lentiviral vectors can effectively deliver ovalbumin antigen for induction of antitumor immunity, Hum Gene Ther 20(12) (2009) 1652-64. [101] A. Kretz-Rommel, F. Qin, N. Dakappagari, R. Torensma, S. Faas, D. Wu, K.S. Bowdish, In vivo targeting of antigens to human dendritic cells through DC-SIGN elicits stimulatory immune responses and inhibits tumor growth in grafted mouse models, J Immunother 30(7) (2007) 715-26. [102] J.M. Odegard, B. Kelley-Clarke, S.U. Tareen, D.J. Campbell, P.A. Flynn, C.J. Nicolai, M.M. Slough, C.D. Vin, P.J. McGowan, L.T. Nelson, J. Ter Meulen, T.W. Dubensky, Jr., S.H. Robbins, Virological and preclinical characterization of a dendritic cell targeting, integration-deficient lentiviral vector for cancer immunotherapy, J Immunother 38(2) (2015) 41-53.

[103] Y. Delneste, G. Magistrelli, J. Gauchat, J. Haeuw, J. Aubry, K. Nakamura, N. Kawakami-Honda, L. Goetsch, T. Sawamura, J. Bonnefoy, P. Jeannin, Involvement of LOX-1 in dendritic cell-mediated antigen cross-presentation, Immunity 17(3) (2002) 353-62.

[104] K. Neubert, C.H. Lehmann, L. Heger, A. Baranska, A.M. Staedtler, V.R. Buchholz, S. Yamazaki, G.F. Heidkamp, N. Eissing, H. Zebroski, M.C. Nussenzweig, F. Nimmerjahn, D. Dudziak, Antigen

delivery to CD11c+CD8- dendritic cells induces protective immune responses against experimental melanoma in mice in vivo, J Immunol 192(12) (2014) 5830-8.

[105] D. Sancho, D. Mourao-Sa, O.P. Joffre, O. Schulz, N.C. Rogers, D.J. Pennington, J.R. Carlyle, C. Reis e Sousa, Tumor therapy in mice via antigen targeting to a novel, DC-restricted C-type lectin, J Clin Invest 118(6) (2008) 2098-110.

[106] T. Akazawa, T. Ohashi, H. Nakajima, Y. Nishizawa, K. Kodama, K. Sugiura, T. Inaba, N. Inoue, Development of a dendritic cell-targeting lipopeptide as an immunoadjuvant that inhibits tumor growth without inducing local inflammation, Int J Cancer 135(12) (2014) 2847-56.

[107] A. Faham, J.G. Altin, Ag-bearing liposomes engrafted with peptides that interact with CD11c/CD18 induce potent Ag-specific and antitumor immunity, Int J Cancer 129(6) (2011) 1391- 403.

[108] X. Preville, D. Ladant, B. Timmerman, C. Leclerc, Eradication of established tumors by vaccination with recombinant Bordetella pertussis adenylate cyclase carrying the human papillomavirus 16 E7 oncoprotein, Cancer Res 65(2) (2005) 641-9.

[109] NCT01957878, Phase II Study of HPV Therapeutic Vaccine in HPV Infected Women With Normal Cytology or ASCUS/LSIL (RHEIA-VAC), ClinicalTrial (2013).

[110] S.N. Furlan, R. Mandraju, T. Brewer, K. Roybal, T.D. Troutman, W. Hu, N.W. Palm, A. Unni, C. Pasare, Enhancement of anti-tumor CD8 immunity by IgG1-mediated targeting of Fc receptors, MAbs 6(1) (2014) 108-18.

[111] I.G. Zizzari, F. Veglia, F. Taurino, H. Rahimi, E. Quaglino, F. Belleudi, F. Riccardo, M. Antonilli, C. Napoletano, F. Bellati, P. Benedetti-Panici, M.R. Torrisi, L. Frati, M. Nuti, A. Rughetti, HER2-based recombinant immunogen to target DCs through FcgammaRs for cancer immunotherapy, J Mol Med (Berl) 89(12) (2011) 1231-40.

[112] P. Daftarian, A.E. Kaifer, W. Li, B.B. Blomberg, D. Frasca, F. Roth, R. Chowdhury, E.A. Berg, J.B. Fishman, H.A. Al Sayegh, P. Blackwelder, L. Inverardi, V.L. Perez, V. Lemmon, P. Serafini, Peptideconjugated PAMAM dendrimer as a universal DNA vaccine platform to target antigen-presenting cells, Cancer Res 71(24) (2011) 7452-62.

[113] A. Ageichik, C.J. Buchholz, M.K. Collins, Lentiviral vectors targeted to MHC II are effective in immunization, Hum Gene Ther 22(10) (2011) 1249-54.

[114] F. Gennari, L. Lopes, E. Verhoeyen, W. Marasco, M.K. Collins, Single-chain antibodies that target lentiviral vectors to MHC class II on antigen-presenting cells, Hum Gene Ther 20(6) (2009) 554-62.

[115] A.B. Fredriksen, I. Sandlie, B. Bogen, Targeted DNA vaccines for enhanced induction of idiotype-specific B and T cells, Front Oncol 2 (2012) 154.

[116] J. Loschko, A. Schlitzer, D. Dudziak, I. Drexler, N. Sandholzer, C. Bourquin, W. Reindl, A.B. Krug, Antigen delivery to plasmacytoid dendritic cells via BST2 induces protective T cell-mediated immunity, J Immunol 186(12) (2011) 6718-25.

[117] R.A. Rosalia, L.J. Cruz, S. van Duikeren, A.T. Tromp, A.L. Silva, W. Jiskoot, T. de Gruijl, C. Lowik, J. Oostendorp, S.H. van der Burg, F. Ossendorp, CD40-targeted dendritic cell delivery of PLGAnanoparticle vaccines induce potent anti-tumor responses, Biomaterials 40 (2015) 88-97.

[118] B.J. Williams, S. Bhatia, L.K. Adams, S. Boling, J.L. Carroll, X.L. Li, D.L. Rogers, N. Korokhov, I. Kovesdi, A.V. Pereboev, D.T. Curiel, J.M. Mathis, Dendritic cell based PSMA immunotherapy for prostate cancer using a CD40-targeted adenovirus vector, PLoS One 7(10) (2012) e46981.

[119] B.N. Hangalapura, D. Oosterhoff, J. de Groot, L. Boon, T. Tuting, A.J. van den Eertwegh, W.R. Gerritsen, V.W. van Beusechem, A. Pereboev, D.T. Curiel, R.J. Scheper, T.D. de Gruijl, Potent antitumor immunity generated by a CD40-targeted adenoviral vaccine, Cancer Res 71(17) (2011) 5827-37.

[120] U.E. Burkhardt, A. Sloots, V. Jakobi, W.Z. Wei, F. Cavallo, B.P. Kloke, W.S. Wels, IL-15 augments antitumoral activity of an ErbB2/HER2 cancer vaccine targeted to professional antigen-presenting cells, Cancer Immunol Immunother 61(9) (2012) 1473-84.

[121] H. Hemmi, N. Zaidi, B. Wang, I. Matos, C. Fiorese, A. Lubkin, L. Zbytnuik, K. Suda, K. Zhang, M. Noda, T. Kaisho, R.M. Steinman, J. Idoyaga, Treml4, an Ig superfamily member, mediates presentation of several antigens to T cells in vivo, including protective immunity to HER2 protein, J Immunol 188(3) (2012) 1147-55.

[122] H.J. Cho, K. Takabayashi, P.M. Cheng, M.D. Nguyen, M. Corr, S. Tuck, E. Raz, Immunostimulatory DNA-based vaccines induce cytotoxic lymphocyte activity by a T-helper cellindependent mechanism, Nat Biotechnol 18(5) (2000) 509-14.

[123] D.C. Jackson, Y.F. Lau, T. Le, A. Suhrbier, G. Deliyannis, C. Cheers, C. Smith, W. Zeng, L.E. Brown, A totally synthetic vaccine of generic structure that targets Toll-like receptor 2 on dendritic cells and promotes antibody or cytotoxic T cell responses, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101(43) (2004) 15440-5.

[124] A.K. Panda, Induction of anti-tumor immunity and T-cell responses using nanodelivery systems engrafting TLR-5 ligand, Expert Rev Vaccines 10(2) (2011) 155-7.

[125] C. Mansilla, P. Berraondo, M. Durantez, M. Martinez, N. Casares, L. Arribillaga, F. Rudilla, J. Fioravanti, T. Lozano, L. Villanueva, P. Sarobe, F. Borras, C. Leclerc, J. Prieto, J.J. Lasarte, Eradication of large tumors expressing human papillomavirus E7 protein by therapeutic vaccination with E7 fused to the extra domain a from fibronectin, Int J Cancer 131(3) (2012) 641-51.

[126] F. Aranda, D. Llopiz, N. Diaz-Valdes, J.I. Riezu-Boj, J. Bezunartea, M. Ruiz, M. Martinez, M. Durantez, C. Mansilla, J. Prieto, J.J. Lasarte, F. Borras-Cuesta, P. Sarobe, Adjuvant combination and antigen targeting as a strategy to induce polyfunctional and high-avidity T-cell responses against poorly immunogenic tumors, Cancer Res 71(9) (2011) 3214-24.

[127] A. Biragyn, K. Tani, M.C. Grimm, S. Weeks, L.W. Kwak, Genetic fusion of chemokines to a self tumor antigen induces protective, T-cell dependent antitumor immunity, Nat Biotechnol 17(3) (1999) 253-8.

[128] E. Hartung, M. Becker, A. Bachem, N. Reeg, A. Jakel, A. Hutloff, H. Weber, C. Weise, C. Giesecke, V. Henn, S. Gurka, K. Anastassiadis, H.W. Mages, R.A. Kroczek, Induction of potent CD8 T cell cytotoxicity by specific targeting of antigen to cross-presenting dendritic cells in vivo via murine or human XCR1, J Immunol 194(3) (2015) 1069-79.

[129] S.D. Sanderson, S.R. Cheruku, M.P. Padmanilayam, J.L. Vennerstrom, G.M. Thiele, M.I. Palmatier, R.A. Bevins, Immunization to nicotine with a peptide-based vaccine composed of a conformationally biased agonist of C5a as a molecular adjuvant, Int Immunopharmacol 3(1) (2003) 137-46.

[130] M.J. Duryee, R.A. Bevins, C.M. Reichel, J.E. Murray, Y. Dong, G.M. Thiele, S.D. Sanderson, Immune responses to methamphetamine by active immunization with peptide-based, molecular adjuvant-containing vaccines, Vaccine 27(22) (2009) 2981-8.

[131] G. Kollessery, T.M. Nordgren, A.K. Mittal, S.S. Joshi, S.D. Sanderson, Tumor-specific peptidebased vaccines containing the conformationally biased, response-selective C5a agonists EP54 and EP67 protect against aggressive large B cell lymphoma in a syngeneic murine model, Vaccine 29(35) (2011) 5904-10.

[132] C.Y. Hung, B.J. Hurtgen, M. Bellecourt, S.D. Sanderson, E.L. Morgan, G.T. Cole, An agonist of human complement fragment C5a enhances vaccine immunity against Coccidioides infection, Vaccine 30(31) (2012) 4681-90.

[133] Y.B. Patil, U.S. Toti, A. Khdair, L. Ma, J. Panyam, Single-step surface functionalization of polymeric nanoparticles for targeted drug delivery, Biomaterials 30(5) (2009) 859-66.

[134] U.S. Toti, B.R. Guru, A.E. Grill, J. Panyam, Interfacial activity assisted surface functionalization: a novel approach to incorporate maleimide functional groups and cRGD peptide on polymeric nanoparticles for targeted drug delivery, Mol Pharm 7(4) (2010) 1108-17.

[135] H. Sah, A new strategy to determine the actual protein content of poly(lactide-co-glycolide) microspheres, J Pharm Sci 86(11) (1997) 1315-8.

[136] M.B. Lutz, N. Kukutsch, A.L. Ogilvie, S. Rossner, F. Koch, N. Romani, G. Schuler, An advanced culture method for generating large quantities of highly pure dendritic cells from mouse bone marrow, J Immunol Methods 223(1) (1999) 77-92.

[137] M.P. Matheu, D. Sen, M.D. Cahalan, I. Parker, Generation of bone marrow derived murine dendritic cells for use in 2-photon imaging, J Vis Exp (17) (2008).

[138] J.D. Pfeifer, M.J. Wick, R.L. Roberts, K. Findlay, S.J. Normark, C.V. Harding, Phagocytic processing of bacterial antigens for class I MHC presentation to T cells, Nature 361(6410) (1993) 359-62.

[139] C.V. Harding, D.S. Collins, O. Kanagawa, E.R. Unanue, Liposome-encapsulated antigens engender lysosomal processing for class II MHC presentation and cytosolic processing for class I presentation, J Immunol 147(9) (1991) 2860-3.

[140] C. Schliehe, C. Redaelli, S. Engelhardt, M. Fehlings, M. Mueller, N. van Rooijen, M. Thiry, K. Hildner, H. Weller, M. Groettrup, CD8- dendritic cells and macrophages cross-present poly(D,Llactate-co-glycolate) acid microsphere-encapsulated antigen in vivo, J Immunol 187(5) (2011) 2112- 21.

[141] A. de Haan, K.B. Renegar, P.A. Small, Jr., J. Wilschut, Induction of a secretory IgA response in the murine female urogenital tract by immunization of the lungs with liposome-supplemented viral subunit antigen, Vaccine 13(7) (1995) 613-6.

[142] R.A. Yetter, S. Lehrer, R. Ramphal, P.A. Small, Jr., Outcome of influenza infection: effect of site of initial infection and heterotypic immunity, Infect Immun 29(2) (1980) 654-62.

[143] N.L. Pham, L.L. Pewe, C.J. Fleenor, R.A. Langlois, K.L. Legge, V.P. Badovinac, J.T. Harty, Exploiting cross-priming to generate protective CD8 T-cell immunity rapidly, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107(27) (2010) 12198-203.

[144] N. Wang, R. Strugnell, O. Wijburg, T. Brodnicki, Measuring bacterial load and immune responses in mice infected with Listeria monocytogenes, J Vis Exp (54) (2011).

[145] R.M. Steinman, The dendritic cell system and its role in immunogenicity, Annu Rev Immunol 9 (1991) 271-96.

[146] J. Tel, G. Schreibelt, S.P. Sittig, T.S. Mathan, S.I. Buschow, L.J. Cruz, A.J. Lambeck, C.G. Figdor, I.J. de Vries, Human plasmacytoid dendritic cells efficiently cross-present exogenous Ags to CD8+ T cells despite lower Ag uptake than myeloid dendritic cell subsets, Blood 121(3) (2013) 459-67.

[147] S.E. Henrickson, M. Perro, S.M. Loughhead, B. Senman, S. Stutte, M. Quigley, G. Alexe, M. Iannacone, M.P. Flynn, S. Omid, J.L. Jesneck, S. Imam, T.R. Mempel, I.B. Mazo, W.N. Haining, U.H. von Andrian, Antigen availability determines CD8(+) T cell-dendritic cell interaction kinetics and memory fate decisions, Immunity 39(3) (2013) 496-507.

[148] S.E. Henrickson, T.R. Mempel, I.B. Mazo, B. Liu, M.N. Artyomov, H. Zheng, A. Peixoto, M.P. Flynn, B. Senman, T. Junt, H.C. Wong, A.K. Chakraborty, U.H. von Andrian, T cell sensing of antigen dose governs interactive behavior with dendritic cells and sets a threshold for T cell activation, Nat Immunol 9(3) (2008) 282-91.

[149] K. Shortman, M.H. Lahoud, I. Caminschi, Improving vaccines by targeting antigens to dendritic cells, Exp Mol Med 41(2) (2009) 61-6.

[150] C. Trumpfheller, M.P. Longhi, M. Caskey, J. Idoyaga, L. Bozzacco, T. Keler, S.J. Schlesinger, R.M. Steinman, Dendritic cell-targeted protein vaccines: a novel approach to induce T-cell immunity, J Intern Med 271(2) (2012) 183-92.

[151] S.L. Gaffen, K.D. Liu, Overview of interleukin-2 function, production and clinical applications, Cytokine 28(3) (2004) 109-23.

[152] J. Karttunen, S. Sanderson, N. Shastri, Detection of rare antigen-presenting cells by the lacZ Tcell activation assay suggests an expression cloning strategy for T-cell antigens, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 89(13) (1992) 6020-4.

[153] B.V. Karuturi, S.B. Tallapaka, P. Yeapuri, S.M. Curran, S.D. Sanderson, J.A. Vetro, Encapsulation of an EP67-conjugated CTL peptide vaccine in nanoscale biodegradable particles increases the efficacy of respiratory immunization and affects the magnitude and memory subsets of vaccinegenerated mucosal and systemic CD8+ T cells in a diameter-dependent manner, Mol Pharm (2017). [154] S.L. Demento, W. Cui, J.M. Criscione, E. Stern, J. Tulipan, S.M. Kaech, T.M. Fahmy, Role of sustained antigen release from nanoparticle vaccines in shaping the T cell memory phenotype, Biomaterials 33(19) (2012) 4957-64.

[155] H. Lauterbach, K.M. Kerksiek, D.H. Busch, E. Berto, A. Bozac, P. Mavromara, R. Manservigi, A.L. Epstein, P. Marconi, T. Brocker, Protection from bacterial infection by a single vaccination with replication-deficient mutant herpes simplex virus type 1, J Virol 78(8) (2004) 4020-8.

[156] M. Mizuki, A. Nakane, K. Sekikawa, Y.I. Tagawa, Y. Iwakura, Comparison of host resistance to primary and secondary Listeria monocytogenes infections in mice by intranasal and intravenous routes, Infect Immun 70(9) (2002) 4805-11.

[157] M. Barry, R.C. Bleackley, Cytotoxic T lymphocytes: all roads lead to death, Nat Rev Immunol 2(6) (2002) 401-9.

[158] R.A. Seder, P.A. Darrah, M. Roederer, T-cell quality in memory and protection: implications for vaccine design, Nat Rev Immunol 8(4) (2008) 247-58.

[159] S.M. Kaech, J.T. Tan, E.J. Wherry, B.T. Konieczny, C.D. Surh, R. Ahmed, Selective expression of the interleukin 7 receptor identifies effector CD8 T cells that give rise to long-lived memory cells, Nat Immunol 4(12) (2003) 1191-8.

[160] J.D. Ahlers, I.M. Belyakov, Memories that last forever: strategies for optimizing vaccine T-cell memory, Blood 115(9) (2010) 1678-89.

[161] J.J. Obar, L. Lefrancois, Memory CD8+ T cell differentiation, Ann N Y Acad Sci 1183 (2010) 251- 66.

[162] J.J. Obar, L. Lefrancois, Early events governing memory CD8+ T-cell differentiation, Int Immunol 22(8) (2010) 619-25.