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An Open-Label Trial of Tomoxetine in Pediatric Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder

CHRISTOPHER J. KRATOCHVIL, M.D., DARYL BOHAC, Ph.D., MARTIN HARRINGTON, M.D., NATALIE BAKER, M.D., DIANE MAY, R.N., M.A., and WILLIAM J. BURKE, M.D.

ABSTRACT

Objective: To collect pilot data assessing the safety, tolerability, and efficacy of tomoxetine, a nonstimulant norepinephrine enhancer, in pediatric attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD).

Methods: An open-label trial of tomoxetine in pediatric ADHD was conducted as part of a multisite clinical trial. Following a baseline assessment, an ascending dose titration was completed during 10 weekly visits.

Results: Ten subjects were enrolled at baseline, with eight completing the study. Seven of the eight remaining subjects met efficacy criteria. Significant decreases in symptom severity ratings by parents and study investigators were found. The medication was well tolerated, with transient appetite suppression the most frequently reported side effect. However, subjects’ weights remained stable across study visits.

Discussion: These preliminary findings suggest that tomoxetine may hold promise as a treatment for pediatric ADHD.

INTRODUCTION

Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is one of the most common disorders of childhood, with a prevalence rate of 3–5% (Tannock 1998), yet there are only three primary psychopharmacological interventions for ADHD: methylphenidate, dextroamphetamine, and d,l-amphetamine. Although the stimulants are quite effective, some youth do not respond, whereas others are never initiated on stimulants due to concerns regarding treatment with a controlled substance. Additionally, some children treated with these stimulants frequently suffer from diminished appetite, weight loss, insomnia, exacerbation of tics, stomach aches, headaches, irritability, and mood lability. Thus, there is a clear need for alternatives to current treatments of ADHD.

Recently Spencer and colleagues reported on the use of a novel medication, tomoxetine, in the treatment of adult ADHD (Spencer et al. 1998). Tomoxetine enhances norepinephrine function through highly selective blockade of the presynaptic norepinephrine transporter and has low affinity for other neuronal trans-
porters or neurotransmitter receptor sites. Spencer et al. found that atomoxetine was well tolerated and effective in the treatment of adult ADHD, with 11 of 21 subjects showing improvement after receiving atomoxetine compared to only 2 of 21 who received placebo. More recently, preliminary results from a pair of double-blind placebo-controlled trials indicated that atomoxetine was well tolerated and effective in treating pediatric ADHD (Heiligenstein et al. 2000). This article reports additional evidence of atomoxetine’s effectiveness based on one site’s results from the open-label phase of a separate ongoing investigation involving 198 subjects at 24 sites.

METHODS

The objective of this study was to collect pilot data assessing the safety, tolerability, and efficacy of this agent in a pediatric population with ADHD. The Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale-IV-Parent Version (ADHDRS-IV-P) was utilized as a primary efficacy measure (DuPaul 1991). The ADHDRS-IV-P is an 18-item scale with 1 item for each of the 18 symptoms contained in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, fourth edition (DSM-IV; American Psychiatric Association 1994) diagnostic criteria for ADHD. It was utilized as a clinician-administered, semistructured parent interview at each study visit to assess symptom severity for the prior week. The ADHDRS-IV-P Total Score was used in all statistical analyses. Two secondary measures of efficacy were employed in this study. First, study physicians completed the Clinical Global Impressions-ADHD-Improvement (CGI-ADHD-I; Guy 1967) ratings at study visits 3 through 12. The CGI-ADHD-I is a single-item clinician rating of the total change in the patient’s ADHD symptoms since the beginning of treatment. Second, the Conners’ Parent Rating Scale-Revised: Short Form (CPRS-R:S; Conners et al. 1998), a 27-item scale designed to assess problem behaviors related to ADHD, was completed by parents at study visits 1, 2, and 12. The ADHD Index score from the CPRS-R:S was used as the dependent measure in all statistical analyses.

All subjects enrolling in the study met DSM-IV criteria for ADHD (American Psychiatric Association 1994). After a complete description of the study to the subjects, written informed consent was obtained from the parents and assent was obtained from the subjects. Following baseline assessment, subjects completed an open-label ascending dose titration of atomoxetine. The titration schedule was based on tolerability and clinical response.

Subjects were defined as treatment responders if they had both a score of 1 or 2 (very much or much improved) on the CGI-ADHD-I and at least a 25% decrease in ADHDRS-IV-P total score by visit 12. One subject had CGI-ADHD-I scores of 1 for three consecutive visits (i.e., visits 6 through 8). This subject’s final visit data were carried forward into the remaining visits for all statistical analyses.

Visits occurred weekly for a total of 10 weeks on medication. Vital signs, weight, EKGs, and chemical and hematological profiles were repeated serially during the study. Safety monitoring included documentation of adverse events at every visit. Adverse events were identified utilizing spontaneous open-ended questioning. The Barkley Behavior and Adverse Events Questionnaire-Modified (BBAEQ-M) was also used to review potential untoward effects systematically.

RESULTS

Ten males with ADHD (nine Whites and one Hispanic, 9–14 years of age) were enrolled in the study. The group had an average estimated IQ of 104.4 (SD = 16.6, range = 85–130) on a four-subtest short form of the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, third edition (WISC-III; Wechsler 1991). Similarly, subjects obtained Wide Range Achievement Test, third edition (Wilkinson 1993), average scores of 100.9 (SD = 15.48, range = 79–131) for reading, 102.6 (SD = 18.26, range = 81–139) for spelling, and 91.6 (SD = 8.93, range = 81–107) for arithmetic. The average weight of the subjects was 43.2 kg and ranged from 30.5 to 65.3 kg. At baseline, all subjects had an ADHDRS-IV-P score at least 1.5 standard deviations from the mean for their age and sex. Of the 10 subjects treated with atomoxetine, one withdrew because of a rash, one was discontinued due to noncompliance, and eight completed the scheduled visits. Of these eight
subjects, seven met criteria for ADHD, combined subtype, and one for inattentive subtype. In addition to the ADHD diagnoses, one subject met criteria for a simple phobia, one for generalized anxiety disorder, and four for oppositional defiant disorder. At endpoint, seven of eight subjects met efficacy criteria of a 25% or greater reduction in the ADHDRS-IV-P total score and a CGI-ADHD-I score of 1 or 2. The one nonresponder met criteria for ADHD, combined subtype, with no comorbid diagnosis.

Scores from the efficacy measures were subjected to repeated measures analysis of variance. For ADHDRS-IV-P ratings across study visits, the change in scores was significant, \( F(9, 63) = 5.65, p = 0.0001 \), and in the expected direction with severity ratings decreasing over the course of the study. Clinician ratings of improvement using the CGI-ADHD-I were also significantly different across visits, \( F(9, 63) = 5.21, p = 0.0001 \). The relationship between mean ADHD severity ratings and mean mg/kg dose levels across study visits is represented in Fig. 1. A plot of mean CGI-ADHD-I scores by mean dose level resulted in curves nearly paralleling those seen in Fig. 1.

CPRS-R:S mean scores across visits were also examined using repeated measures analysis of variance (Table 1). A significant effect was determined across visits 1, 2, and 12, \( F(2, 14) = 19.25, p = 0.0001 \). Bonferroni-corrected, post-hoc univariate \( F \) tests demonstrated that the effect was between visits 2 and 12, \( F(1, 7) = 22.64, p = 0.002 \). No significant difference was found between rating scale scores on visit 1 (screening) and visit 2 (baseline), during which the subjects received no drug.

Medication was well tolerated throughout the 10 weeks of treatment. One subject withdrew due to a rash, believed to be medication induced as it reoccurred when the subject was rechallenged with tomoxtine. Six

![FIG. 1. Dose level by symptom severity across visits. ADHDRS-IV-P = Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Rating Scale-IV-Parent Version.](image)

**Table 1. Pre- and Posttreatment Scores for Efficacy Measures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Baseline</strong></th>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Posttreatment</strong></th>
<th></th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADHDRS-IV-P</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPRS-R:S</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGI-ADHD-I(^a)</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)CGI-ADHD-I ratings were first obtained at study visit 3, at which time subjects had been on medication for 1 week.
of 10 subjects reported transient appetite suppression of mild to moderate severity. For the 10 subjects who met entry criteria, weight remained stable across study visits when comparing baseline weight (mean = 43.23, SD = 10.66 kg) to weight at last study visit (mean = 42.08, SD = 9.98 kg), using last-observation-carried-forward analysis ($t = -1.75$, $df = 9$, $p = 0.11$). Other transient adverse events included gastrointestinal symptoms (4 children), irritability (3), fatigue (3), epistaxis (1), dizziness (1), dry mouth (1), nightmares (1), headache (1), and heart palpitations (1). There were no spontaneous reports of insomnia. Investigators treated the transient adverse events symptomatically with no further medical intervention required.

**DISCUSSION**

The initial pilot data suggest that tomoxetine may be a useful intervention for pediatric ADHD. These preliminary findings are important in that they indicate a norepinephrine enhancer may be effective in treating this disorder. Tomoxetine may also represent an alternative for those patients who fail to respond to one of the primary psychopharmacological interventions for ADHD.

Despite the limitations of this study, which include a small sample size and an open-label design, these initial results are promising. The sample size is currently being addressed in larger multicenter studies. Heiligenstein et al.’s (2000) preliminary data from two randomized clinical trials in children with ADHD, which suggested that tomoxetine is safe, well tolerated, and leads to a significant reduction in ADHD symptoms, are consistent with our findings. Our results and emerging data from other trials suggest that tomoxetine may hold promise as a treatment for pediatric ADHD.
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